

NEWS

Under a globalist king, now is the time for perestroika



PAGE 4

SOLUTIONS

Don't ignore democratic tools to preserve freedom



PAGE 12

INTERNATIONAL

Covid shots highly dangerous and utterly ineffective - studies



PAGE 16

HEALTH

Mandated pet microchipping is barbaric



PAGE 21

THE



LIGHT

Issue 26 - Monthly

The Uncensored Truth

thelightpaper.co.uk

PEOPLE-FUNDED
PAPER



How can the media ignore this?

Watch **FREE** online now - unmissable documentary

Still under the influence

'It's a big club, and you ain't in it' - George Carlin



THE
TRILATERAL
COMMISSION

CHATHAM
HOUSE

The Royal Institute of
International Affairs



House of Rothschild



CITY
OF
LONDON



Knights Of Malta



COUNCIL on
FOREIGN
RELATIONS

THE
TAVISTOCK
INSTITUTE®



WORLD
ECONOMIC
FORUM

common
purpose



UNITED NATIONS



FABIAN SOCIETY



BANK FOR
INTERNATIONAL
SETTLEMENTS



If these institutions run the world what does that mean for democracy?

> > > > > See Pages 3, 4, 6 and 7 < < < < <

Return of the winter of discontent

Don't Pay UK a solution to energy crisis?

by **CHRISTOPHER CARRION**


the year up to August 1975.

This series of strikes was a major problem for the Labour government, which eventually collapsed, bringing in the Conservative government of Margaret Thatcher. It was a period of significant social disruption; major labour unions ran a repeated series of strikes for higher pay in the face of the government trying to bring down inflation caused by the oil embargo from the Organisation of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), as a result of the 1973 war between Israel and Egypt.

The UK is now setting itself up for a similar situation for this winter. The

IN the winter of 1978-79, the UK was rocked by the 'Winter of Discontent', a period of significant social breakdown caused by a combination of high inflation, strikes and a very cold and stormy winter.

During this period, strikes were rampant: everyone from gravediggers to garbage collectors went on strike as inflation peaked at 24 per cent in



1981	11.88 %
1980	17.97 %
1979	13.42 %
1978	8.26 %
1977	15.84 %
1976	16.56 %
1975	24.21 %
1974	16.04 %
1973	9.20 %

Rates of inflation in the 1970s

combination of the covid lockdowns, renewable energy policy slashing domestic production all over Europe, the war in Ukraine and widespread strikes are coming together in an unholy mixture that will make this winter very hard indeed.

The UK operates on a price cap system for energy, which is rising higher and higher as the Russian sanctions begin to bite, causing what has been termed the 'cost-of-living crisis'. However, it could more accurately be called the 'green energy crisis', because none of these problems needed to happen to the UK. It has oil in the North Sea, abundant natural gas there too, and the technical know-how to use nuclear energy to provide clean, stable power.

However, there is still very little recognition from government that the problem ultimately lies with climate policy. Instead, as usual, half measures are the order of the day. Boris Johnson, while still in power, committed to building 10 new nuclear reactors over the next 10 years, but this will come far too late to make any meaningful change now.

The Labour left have been quick to seize on this opportunity, despite supporting the very policies that made them happen, such as covid lockdowns and green energy.

The prospect of the UK energy price cap possibly rising to up to £6,000 by January has prompted the rise of the Don't Pay.uk movement, which is a pledge for energy consumers to stop paying energy bills if they reach a million people pledging to do so.

The logic is that this will force the government to 'do something', although exactly what it is supposed to do is not made entirely clear. Their demands consist of reversing the energy price cap to April 2021

levels, which existed before the outbreak of war in Ukraine, ending enforcement of pre-paid electricity meters, effectively giving free power to the poorest sections of society who are forced to pay the highest tariffs, and an 'emergency social energy tariff' that would make energy more expensive, depending on income. At the time of printing this article, they have 198,000 people who have signed on to this pledge.

This essentially comes down to price controls, taxation and government bailouts for energy companies that will be bankrupted by being forced to sell electricity below market cost. Don't Pay's solution to where the money will come from is that gas and oil companies should pay for this crisis in its entirety via their record profits.

In the long term, Don't Pay envisions a permanent solution and a 'fair price for power' to 'transform the energy sector to permanently make energy affordable'. Exactly how this is to be accomplished is not stated, and raises the suspicion that this has nothing to do with any concrete policy proposals but is purely a move for political agitation.

Indeed, Don't Pay plans to start a process this autumn to 'decide together in local groups what a fair price to pay for our energy is, and how we can achieve and enforce that price by transforming the energy sector.'

The fact that Don't Pay was started by members of Momentum, the far left radical Corbynite wing of the UK Labour party, arouses suspicions that this may be an attempt to use the energy crisis to bring down the Conservative government this winter in a repeat of 1978.

There are, however, concrete steps that could be taken by government

**This essentially
comes down to
price controls,
taxation and
government
bailouts for energy
companies forced
to sell electricity at
below market cost**

to reduce the cost of energy for consumers, mainly by lowering green taxes on energy, which comprise over 13 per cent of end-user costs for electricity, as well as VAT, which makes up another five per cent.

For now, however, barring a miracle, the fate of the UK and Europe as a whole seems to be sealed this winter. Any steps that could be taken to source more energy can only come from OPEC, which has refused to raise output. Unless the UK makes peace with Russia, there is no way to get more energy from them, and the energy crunch hitting Europe will make energy prices rise everywhere.

Other solutions, such as nuclear, would not only have to have been enabled years ago, but are politically improbable due to the stranglehold that green ideology has over the current establishment.

Whatever happens, it's going to be a cold winter, in the UK and the rest of Europe. Better wrap up.

<https://dontpaytherightway.co.uk>

THE LIGHT PAPER OCTOBER 2022

Distributed independently to remain fiercely free from the establishment we seek to hold to account.

Original content is © 2022 thelightpaper.co.uk

For all distribution and pre-order enquiries, please email: lightdistribution@mailbox.org

For advertising enquiries, please contact Nicola at: ads@thelightpaper.co.uk

For all subscription enquiries, please contact: subscriptions@thelightpaper.co.uk

thelightpaper.co.uk

Editor: Darren Smith

Sub-editors: Harry Wundas & Jamie Rodriguez

Layout: Miki Kay

Distribution: Cath Swann & Matt Smith

Advertising: Nicola Kelly

Subscriptions: Ross & Rebecca Penname

Social Media: Jessica Paris, Milou & Nicola Kelly

Proofreading: David K, Alan I, Stevie M, Adrian L, Tracy S

Special thanks to our warehouse hubs & distributors who work tirelessly to bring you the uncensored news.

To keep our paper FREE is not free - you can help by ordering advance copies, donating and subscribing at:

thelightpaper.co.uk

"If we don't recognise propaganda, we may accept it as normal and believe it" - Harold Pinter

Governments beholden to money power

by **NIALL McCRAE and
CLIVE MENZIES**

Dark truths too
upsetting for
public to accept

**IN a representative
democracy, we are ruled
by a government elected by
the people. If we don't like
the current administration,
we have an opportunity to
remove it at the polling booth.**


The rhetoric may change, but in practice the differences between mainstream political parties are insignificant. Aside from electoral comings and goings, there is a long-term trend towards state interference in our daily lives. Despite any appearance of progress and prosperity, the people are more controlled than ever. But who is doing the controlling, and why?

Viewed from multiple perspectives, it becomes clear that governments are beholden to the global money system. Any national leaders who resist the globalist agenda are likely to be denounced as anti-democratic or 'far right' (e.g. Viktor Orban in Hungary and Jair Bolsonaro in Brazil); or they may be targeted for 'regime change' (after a long series of Middle-Eastern and Latin-American leaders, the pantomime ogre is now Vladimir Putin). Regime change operations in the 20th century included the destruction of Tsarist Russia by the Bolshevik Revolution, and of Imperial China under Mao Zedong.

The ravaging of Europe in two world wars spawned the supranational entities of the European Union and United Nations. The dismantling of Yugoslavia in the final decade of the millennium was a foretaste of a 'new world order'.

International bodies are conduits of financial power, some more obviously than others (e.g. the Bank of International Settlements, World Bank and International Monetary Fund). Similarly, non-governmental organisations are controlled by embedded money interests; for example, the World Wildlife Fund is backed by the Rockefeller Foundation, whose wealth derives from the hydrocarbon industry (i.e. oil).

Various Rockefeller-backed institutions are at the forefront of the fraudulent climate crisis narrative that vilifies the oil industry. This paradox requires a lot of unpacking,



but, in short, the 'net zero' imperative is not about reducing pollution, but reducing population.

Why is public perception of power structures so far from the reality? Organisations spend vast sums on public relations, which is really manipulation. Propaganda has existed for as long as institutional hierarchy. When the Roman Church was the dominant institution, congregations were told many lies to reinforce the wealth and power of priests and bishops. However, exploiting mass media took shape as a science in the early 20th century, coinciding with the publication of the book *Propaganda* by Edward Bernays (Sigmund Freud's nephew) in 1928.

Since then, the sophistication and ubiquity of psychological manipulation techniques to manage the attitudes and behaviour of the masses has evolved to a level that is awe-inspiring and all-encompassing.

Propaganda is everywhere to see, for those who care to look. But the majority of the people are malleable

to messaging by the powers-that-be, oblivious to the motive of the messenger. This reality has become clear to anyone who has explored how the world works, and whose discoveries are met with disbelief.

The question often asked is - why? To the average man and woman, the answer is simply not credible, because they cannot accept that something so diabolical can possibly exist outside the fiction of dystopian novels or films. If a lie is big enough, people will more easily believe it. Surely the demolition of the Twin Towers wasn't an inside job?

The output of Hollywood and mainstream media 'news' is controlled by the same network that controls all other levers of power. So while people watch Shakespeare or modern drama portraying the diabolical misdeeds of monarchs and cliché contemporary despots, most seem incapable of attributing the same characteristics to supposedly liberal leaders such as Justin Trudeau or Ursula von der Leyen.

A common flaw of lesser mortals is a belief that such men and women have the same human cares and concerns as their own. They neither understand the psychopathy of power and the type of person drawn to it, nor do they relate the Machiavellian plots and manifestation of evil in the latest TV series or movies to real life. Truth is indeed stranger than fiction.

The internet is a double-edged sword. It is undoubtedly used as an instrument of control, but it also has exposed, to those sufficiently curious, the dark underbelly of power in the world. For now at least, researchers and analysts can obtain information and share their findings with others similarly motivated to understand power and its manifestations.

There is a growing awareness that in co-existence with a web of international policy shops, such as the Bilderberg Group and Trilateral Commission, are secret societies such as the Bavarian Illuminati, which have infiltrated Freemasonry

**Why is public
perception of
power structures
so far from reality?
Organisations
spend vast sums
on public relations,
which is really
manipulation**

and conduits of state, Church and corporate power. Thus, we find connections between many of these societies such as Skull & Bones, and the financing and leadership of revolutions and regime change agents. These secret societies indulge in bizarre practices that are so alien to most people that they dare not take them seriously, though the exposure of Jeffery Epstein and Ghislain Maxwell gives a glimpse into this world.

Tjeerd Andringa of the University of Groningen appears to have been silenced since going public in 2015 on his theory of how the kakistocracy (rule by the worst) uses child abuse to perpetuate their control, both over the victims of that abuse and its perpetrators. His interview with James Corbett of the Corbett Report is enlightening on how those in power are seduced into depravity, and why the majority refuse to believe it. Child abuse and other crimes aren't the source of power, but symptoms of the structure that has evolved over two-and-a-half thousand years.

However, even those at the apex should worry about losing control of the evolving 'machine'. The technology of artificial intelligence and digital control, taken to its logical conclusion, would result in the collective suicide of our species. More optimistically for humanity, the misapplied occult techniques and money matrix will culminate in the misanthropic structure's demise. Evil sows the seeds of its own destruction.

■ <https://www.corbettreport.com/interview-1024-tjeerd-andringa-exposes-the-kakistocracy/>

■ <https://outersite.org>

Under a globalist king, now is the time for perestroika

Urgent call for more transparency over entwined tentacles of Parliament and royalty - before it's too late

“WE’VE just had a change in head of state, and I’ve not had a say in it,” was one comment I heard in the pub recently. We’ve also just had a change in Prime Minister, and I haven’t had a say in that either. Yet we are constantly told that we have the greatest democracy in the world.

King Charles III, in his inaugural address to the nation, stated that he had been brought up to hold in the greatest respect “the precious traditions, freedoms and responsibilities of our unique history and our system of parliamentary government”. So what is special about our system of parliamentary government?

The Royal Family website states that while The Sovereign is Head of State, the ability to make and pass legislation resides with an elected Parliament. Yet only one chamber in that parliament is elected.

The advantage of a monarchy, we are constantly told, is that the monarch has no power.

Some light was thrown on this on August 29, 2013, when a government motion on Syria was defeated in the House of Commons. The Leader of the Opposition, Ed Miliband, then asked the Prime Minister to confirm that he would not use the royal prerogative to order the UK to be part of military action. David Cameron gave that assurance. If the concept of royal prerogative has any meaning, it must surely be seen as in contradistinction to the claim that the monarch has no power.

On September 9, 2015, after the government had admitted to having bombed targets in Syria, I wrote to the Queen, asking whether she had given her agreement to the bombing in Syria. Her Deputy Correspondence Coordinator replied: “While careful note has been taken of your enquiries, I should explain that as a constitutional Sovereign,

by IAN FANTOM

Her Majesty acts on the advice of her Ministers and therefore would not comment on matters of this nature.” In other words, it’s none of my business. But it did appear to confirm that the Queen had indeed been consulted over the issue.

I later became concerned when I heard that Theresa May, as Prime Minister, had described herself as ‘the Queen in Parliament’. So I dug deeper.

The House of Commons Library had produced a research briefing, ‘The Royal Prerogative’. This stated: “Prerogative executive powers - the powers which historically have resided with the Sovereign but which now, by constitutional convention, are exercised by Government Ministers acting in the Sovereign’s name. They include: The making and ratifying of international treaties; the conduct of diplomacy; the governance of overseas territories; and the deployment of the armed forces.” That means that the Prime Minister had no need to request the royal prerogative from the Queen in order to deploy armed forces. So did he?

“The formal phrase ‘Crown in Parliament’ is used to describe the British legislature, which consists of the Sovereign, the House of Lords and the House of Commons,” states the royal.uk website.

Overwhelmingly the British public understands that ‘Parliament’ comprises two chambers. Yet Wikipedia states: “Parliament is bicameral but has three parts, consisting of the Sovereign (Crown-in-Parliament), the House of Lords, and the House of Commons (the primary chamber).”

There is occasional talk in our mainstream media of the separation of powers, but the concept of Crown-in-Parliament makes a nonsense of the idea of separation of powers. The Wikipedia entry for ‘Crown-in-Parliament’ clearly states: “The concept of the Crown as a part of parliament is related to the idea of the fusion of powers, meaning that the executive branch and legislative branch of government are fused together. It is in contradistinction to the idea of the separation of powers.”

The judgement by the Supreme Court of September 24, 2019, in the



Klaus Schwab, King Charles III and Václav Havel in 1992

case of the early prorogation of Parliament by Prime Minister Boris Johnson, made frequent reference to the constitutional ‘separation of powers’. There was no mention of the ‘fusion of powers’. Perhaps these refer to different powers, but that was not made clear. There appears to be huge confusion over this issue.

On September 25, 2019, the Constitutional Law Group published a paper, ‘Supreme Court Against the People’, by Danny Nicol, Professor of Public Law at the University of Westminster. He took issue with the Supreme Court’s statement that “it is not a decision of either House of Parliament. Quite the contrary: it is something which is imposed upon them from outside”.

Professor Nicol explained his objection:

“The Court conveniently ignores what is learnt by rote by every first-year law student: that Parliament consists of the House of Commons and the House of Lords and the Queen. The two Houses alone do not constitute Parliament in the legal sense: the Queen is a constituent part of Parliament too. She is not remotely an outsider. Parliament consists of all three institutions. Proceedings between them, of which prorogations are undoubtedly one, are no business of the courts.”

The Supreme Court’s judgement document also stated: “Although the United Kingdom does not have a single document entitled ‘The Constitution’, it nevertheless possesses a constitution, established over the course of our history by common law, statutes, conventions and practice. Since it has not been codified, it has developed pragmatically, and remains sufficiently flexible to be capable of further development.” So who is it

that can flex the constitution? Not the ordinary people of the country.

Instead of safeguarding the population against abuse of power by the executive, the ‘constitution’ is clearly being used to safeguard the executive against the people. No reader of The Light could be in any doubt that huge abuses of power are regularly taking place.

The Anglo-American establishment was keen to support Mikhail Gorbachev’s attempts to bring about glasnost and perestroika in the Soviet Union. Perhaps now the time is overdue the UK to understand that that is exactly what is needed in our own system of government.

Any serious attempt to change the status quo will be met with overwhelming and effective opposition from the establishment. Any change must be enacted with the consent of the people. The history of republics has not always been a happy one. Presidential systems can have the same financial powers behind them as monarchies; the Bolshevik revolution was apparently financed by U.S. bankers. We were told at school that power had gradually been transferred from the monarch to the Commons over the ages, but I’ve seen no evidence of that happening during my lifetime. That tradition should continue.

The prerequisite to this is a public understanding of these traditions, and how they protect the powerful. The Crown-in-Parliament needs to be talked about. If it cannot be abolished, then the first power to have any chance of being transferred to the Houses of Parliament will probably be that of military intervention. Such procedures need to be codified, so as to form fragments of an emerging constitution. That would be the

British perestroika.

Abuses of power need to be brought out into the open. The biggest ones are likely to be the most difficult to persuade the public on: “If you’re going to tell a lie, then make it big”, goes the mantra.

The establishment are now trying to draw a line under the death of Princess Diana. Was not the arrest of anti-monarchy protesters in Edinburgh an abuse of power? The Network for Police Monitoring (Netpol) has become increasingly concerned at such arrests, and is asking people to let them know if they witness or experience harassment or disproportionate policing on the streets.

And how many people understand how the money supply works? If the majority did understand that, then there would be an uprising against it. To bring about such changes we would need a British glasnost - probably best referred to as transparency to distinguish it from George Soros’s Open Society Foundations, which campaign for anything but.

But what is King Charles III’s relationship with the World Economic Forum? In January 2020, he launched his Sustainable Markets Initiative in the WEF meeting at Davos, and on June 30, 2020, he launched the new global initiative, The Great Reset. It sounds to me as if he is calling for a world revolution: abolishing nation-states and putting a banking elite in charge of a world government. Given that he was apparently unable to control his feelings when dealing with a leaky pen, we have to wonder to what extent he will distance himself from the WEF.

I see there is already talk in the mainstream media of a Scandinavian style of monarchy under King Charles III. But that seems to be just about style rather than content, and could be a smokescreen. I think the concept of a Scandinavian monarchy should be pursued in the sense of accelerating the flow of powers from the Monarchy to the Houses of Parliament, so that eventually the monarch has no power other than as an arbitrator. I think many people believe that to be the current situation anyway. Who could argue against following such a great British tradition?

‘Internet trolling’ YouTuber jailed for over five years

by **PAUL BENNETT**

Sentence for digital stalking of BBC employees stuns pundits

A POPULAR British YouTube presenter and ex-BBC employee has been jailed for five-and-a-half years for a ‘campaign of harassment’ against BBC employees and journalists.

Over a nine-year period, Nottingham Crown Court heard, Alex Belfield (pictured right) posted regular messages on his social media accounts, sent emails, and made YouTube videos about the four complainants, including Jeremy Vine. Belfield justified his online actions by stating: “I am a journalist who is instinctively going to upset people by the nature of what I do. Nobody wants to be exposed. Nobody wants to be caught out.”

Belfield’s charges stated that he “pursued a course of conduct that amounted to harassment of the complainants,” which caused them “serious alarm and distress” and “amounted to stalking”. According to the Yorkshire Evening Post, “Belfield denies eight counts of stalking spanning a period between 2012 and 2021, after his contract with BBC Radio Leeds was not renewed.”

Despite the deceiving mainstream headlines, Mr Belfield was not accused of physical stalking against the complainants, who were mostly made up of current or former BBC staff. Prosecutor John McGuinness said: “The stalking which this case is concerned with is of a different type - and is more akin to internet trolling.”

One of the BBC’s top earners, Jeremy Vine, described Belfield’s online behaviour as “like an avalanche of hatred you get hit by” and “absolutely Olympic-level stalking, even for broadcasting”. In an arguably despicable comparison, Vine labelled Belfield the “Jimmy Savile of trolling” during the trial. He said: “This is not a regular troll here. This is the Jimmy Savile of trolling.” Vine and



evil Jimmy Savile was an BBC employee for decades, and was one of the UK’s most serial sexual predators.

Belfield responded to the deplorable association with Savile by saying: “What possible reason could Jeremy Vine have said this in front of you other than to destroy me and get the headline of the century, which he did. He thought of the most evil, awful person in media history and compared him to me. For him to associate me with Jimmy Savile is unthinkable.”

Vine continued: “It felt like I had a fishhook in my face and my flesh was being torn, and the only way to avoid further pain was to remain completely still.” Belfield called Vine’s antics in court as “the cleverest razzle-dazzle I’ve ever seen.”

Belfield, who defended himself in court, said in his closing speech that the BBC was trying to “shut me up” because of “inconvenient truths”. He added: “As a whistleblower of the BBC, I was there for 15 years and I saw where the bodies are buried, if you like, and I saw where they wasted money.”

Belfield told the court he had a right to freedom of speech and had legitimate reasons for posting the messages. During the court hearing Belfield told the jury: “I’m a Nottingham lad with a potty mouth and I speak as I find it, and I appreciate that’s not to everyone’s taste. People who claim I was stalking them were actively seeking out my content. Human rights allow me to have free speech in this country, until you are given bail conditions where you cannot speak.”

Popular online political and

social observer Paul Joseph Watson tweeted about the case: “5 years in prison for ‘online harassment’ (tweets mainly) while members of grooming gangs up and down the country avoid jail. Absurd.”

Outspoken media personality Katie Hopkins responded to the case outcome by saying: “I wanted to say that the big lesson from this whole affair has been that today in the UK you can be put in prison for five and a half years because of people’s feelings.”

Belfield hosted a YouTube channel called The Voice of Reason with a large, subscribed following of more than 350,000. Belfield is often described as a ‘marmite’ type presenter and is known for his sneery, crude sarcastic language. His presenting style is not to everyone’s taste as he mentioned at Nottingham Crown Court.

However, the severe custodial sentencing handed out to Alex Belfield for ‘cyber stalking’, or serious online trolling, sets a worrying precedent for the future of free speech online, and plays nicely into the hands of advocates for the proposed UK Online Safety Bill.

The sentencing appears politically motivated and entirely disproportionate to the alleged crimes he committed. The apparent witch-hunt and piling on from the BBC during the case may act as a deterrent to anyone wishing to go up against large institutions in the future, but shouldn’t.

■ **YouTube - Alex Belfield, Voice of Reason**

Covid jab: 48,000 Harold Shipmans - and counting

As ‘vaccine’ genocide toll reaches 12 million, we have still seen nothing in the mainstream media

MOST will know something of the history of the late medical doctor and mass murderer, Harold Shipman.

Over a period of many years, general practitioner Shipman is estimated to have murdered around 250 of his patients - with that paragon of unbiased information, Wikipedia, describing him as “the most prolific serial killer in modern history”. Nicknamed ‘Dr Death’ and ‘The Angel of Death’ by the media, many will remember the prurient coverage of his crimes by the mainstream media at the time (Shipman was convicted on January 31, 2000.)

Contrast such media coverage with their deafening non-coverage of the deaths attributed to the covid experimental jab. U.S. entrepreneur Steve Kirsch will likely be well known to readers. A previously strong supporter of the political left in the USA pre-2019 (having donated some \$20 million to the Democrat Party), Kirsch woke up around the start of the plandemic - and since then he has used his fortune to amass a huge database, based on which he periodically releases telling newsletters that give readers the real statistics about what’s going on with covid and the jab (see <https://stevekirsch.substack.com/>). Notably, Kirsch has also offered U.S. \$1 million to anyone who can refute any of his analyses - and as yet (and predictably), no one has cashed in.

In a recent newsletter (August 2022), Kirsch estimates - conservatively - that the covid jab has killed 12 million people worldwide. Needless to say, there has been a deafening silence about this global genocide in the mainstream media. Just let that sink in. Over TWELVE MILLION people have died (to date) worldwide from an imposed experimental medical treatment, and not a peep from the captured mainstream media. Incredible.

There is a well known saying

by **RICHARD HOUSE, PH.D., C.PSYCHOL.**

about death, attributed to Joseph Stalin: ‘One death is a tragedy; one million is a statistic.’

So, to date as I write, the covid-jab genocidal outrage amounts to 48,000 Harold Shipmans. Precisely because of the truth of Stalin’s quotation, and the numbing effect that mass death has on the psyche, it’s extremely difficult to let in the full reality of this. In effect, 48,000 Harold Shipmans, each criminally killing 250 medical patients, and this unspeakable crime has unfolded before our eyes over the last two years. Some sage commentators are saying that ‘we ain’t seen nothing yet’.

With outrageously biased non-reporting such as this, no wonder the number of viewers and readers of the mainstream media platforms are in freefall. Ex-chief executive of ITV, Mark Sharman, said in a recent *UK Column* interview that his BBC licence renewal had recently arrived - and he wasn’t paying it because, he said, “the BBC has broken its public service contract with me.”

It’s clear from the BBC’s recent accounts that Mark Sharman is far from alone, with erstwhile faithful licence-payers deserting the BBC in droves. This is a direct outcome of the BBC relinquishing any reputation it ever had (however chimerical) for unbiased public service broadcasting, and becoming a demonstrably captured mouthpiece for government, the security services and corporate interests - for anyone sufficiently free-thinking and unduped to see.

If current trends continue (and everything suggests they will, if anything, accelerate), at some point the curves will cross, and more people will be seeking their news from truth-telling independent media sources like *The Light*, *UK Column News*, *Off-Guardian*, *Windows on the World* and the rest, rather than from mainstream sources. When that day comes, no longer will the globalists have majority control over the way people think and the views they hold. And for huge numbers of free-thinking truth-seekers, that day can’t come soon enough.

■ <https://independentviewpoints.net>

Make public aware of how toxic great reset is

Democracy under World Economic Forum an illusion

IN western society, we believe in democracy. We trust our elected leaders to ultimately make the decisions that best guide the direction of our nation and impact our lives.

But what happens when our elected leaders are under the influence of another person or organisation? What if the policies they implement are not theirs, but those of their influencers? Do we still live in a democracy?

In 2019, the United Nations and the World Economic Forum (WEF) agreed a partnership to further develop the sustainable development goals of Agenda 2030.

Recently, many people have expressed concerns about these organisations, particularly the WEF's undue influence over world governments.

As a non-government organisation (NGO), the WEF doesn't hold direct power over government decisions, but that does not mean they are not

by **MATT GUBBA**

able to influence them. How can an organisation like the WEF affect the decisions world superpowers make on behalf of their citizens?

It's pretty simple. The WEF's membership comprises super rich individuals, investment funds, and multinational corporations. Essentially, the same organisations that finance the major political parties and the election campaigns of individual politicians. So, the funding of many politicians comes from the same people and organisations that are members of the WEF. If that isn't enough, it gets worse.

Over the past several decades, the WEF has carefully curated a cohort of 'Young Global Leaders', and manoeuvred them into influential positions in world governments. You may have heard of some of them before: Tony Blair, Justin Trudeau, Jacinda Arden, Emmanuel Macron, and Angela Merkel, to name a few.

The combination of this financial leverage and the placement of indoctrinated leaders, puts the WEF and its members in a unique position of influence over the policies of



numerous governments.

The WEF's insidious nature is what makes it so dangerous. It operates in plain sight, claiming to be working for the good of humanity. But the reality is, the WEF exists to represent and further its own interests. Altruism doesn't come into it.

It has one objective only - to build a future controlled by its members, in which they can sustain their control indefinitely. The extreme ideologies and propaganda they push all lead back to this. They call it The Great Reset.

The WEF's vision of the future is one in which it presides over, and includes a significantly reduced human population of under one billion people, all strictly

controlled and monitored using new technologies like digital IDs and programmable currencies.

National democracies would cease to exist, replaced with a single technocratic world government. What they refer to as 'useless eaters' will be eliminated from society and replaced with machines that carry out manual labour and blue-collar work.

But why do they want this? The WEF is so focused on net zero targets and renewable energy, not because it wants to make the world a better place for you to live in, but because it wants to preserve the Earth's natural resources for itself, while removing us from the equation.

Humanity is at a pivotal point. We are living in a time of unprecedented technological advancement, unfolding at an ever-accelerating pace. The WEF is aware this kind of technological change could quickly reshape the landscape of global power. Just look at how the meteoric rise of big tech has changed the playing field over the past decade. The prospect of something like that happening again before the WEF members can roll out their 2030 agenda terrifies them.

You cannot stop technological progress. But you can try to control

it and use it to your advantage. And herein lies the WEF's obsession with global governance and the fourth industrial revolution.

So what can we do to stop them from enacting the Great Reset? The future the WEF has planned is by no means fixed. It is far from a done deal. For it to be able to achieve its goals, it requires apathy and compliance from the public. It needs people to continue voting for its compromised politicians, and its members to keep funding them. And that is where we can hit them.

We need to make the idea of being associated with the WEF so politically toxic that their influence and funding disappear. We achieve this by highlighting what is happening, revealing compromised politicians and companies and rallying the public against them.

Recently, I founded the International Liberty Forum, a non-profit organisation focused on achieving exactly this. I firmly believe that if we stand united and wake up enough people to the true intentions of the WEF, we can and will stop the Great Reset.

■ <https://thelibertyforum.org>

Police warn journalist over speech that 'might' be offensive

Migrants hotel video causes storm despite no complaints

ONE evening last month, police turned up at my parents' house looking for me - over a crime I hadn't committed, and which no one had complained about.

The officers were reluctant to give any information to my parents regarding their visit. I later contacted 101, and after an hour on hold, the operator told me that there is a hate crime on my record, and stated that he would get the officer involved to give me a call.

The day before, I had filmed a hotel in Cardiff, proving it was housing illegal migrants. During

by **JAMES HARVEY**

this video, I commented to the camera, explaining the difference between a refugee and an economic migrant, referring to the migrants as 'undocumented aliens'.

When the police officer rang me back, he suggested this comment might cause offence to an ethnic minority person and - although no one had actually complained - he told me, "the reason the police are contacting you, want to speak to you, is to basically say look, heads up, take that video down."

"If someone sees it, who is of ethnic minority, or someone takes offence to it, there are going to be offences there against you for making that video. Because obviously a hate crime is if someone perceives that to be something, and

if someone takes offence to it, you could be liable for an offence."

Let me reiterate: no one had actually complained, but the police were saying that if someone does, then I could be committing an offence. The film *Minority Report* springs to mind. We have been aware for some time that the police have been turning up to someone's door to 'check their thinking', but now I finally have proof.

I then told the police officer that hundreds of people online call me a Nazi, and that offends me. I asked if they could be investigated, but the officer brushed that off very quickly, which proves that we do indeed have a two-tier policing system.

Call someone an undocumented alien (which is correct as per the definition in the Oxford English Dictionary), and I'm committing a

hate crime, but call me a Nazi (with no proof) and that's absolutely fine.

This entire conversation was recorded and quickly uploaded by the Bad Law Project on Twitter - a group run by Harry Miller, with Lawrence Fox, that focuses on cases like these and they are looking further into it.

I personally believe the reason the police tried to intimidate me into taking the video down was because they do not want the truth getting out about these migrant hotels: how many of them are being used, and how much money is being spent on them, while many people struggle to pay for electricity or to keep the roof over their heads?

They also see Voice of Wales as a 'threat to modern-day Wales', as stated by the First Minister of Wales, Mark Drakeford, in a Wales Online

article.

This isn't the first time Voice of Wales has been targeted for its journalism. The founders, Dan and Stan, were arrested in January this year for reporting on an event outside a vaccine centre. The case was thrown out on the first day of trial.

The Voice of Wales contacted South Wales Police for comment, but no reply was received at the time of going to press.

The Light also contacted South Wales Police on Monday, September 26 to offer a right of reply, and to mention that the term 'undocumented aliens' appears across several government websites. No response was given by a deadline set to Thursday, September 29.

■ <https://voiceofwales.com>

Come together to fight those pulling the strings

It's the trillionaires we need to worry about

"IT'S a big club, and you ain't in it!" exclaimed comedian George Carlin during his brilliant 2005 monologue on how "the politicians are put there to give you the illusion of choice when you have none - you have owners; they own you!"

These owners have control of all the important land, the banks, the politicians, the judges, and all the news and information you get to hear. And they have moved on much further in the last two decades with the explosive growth of the internet, and their control over the majority of that too.

Think about it - you vote every four or five years for one or other major party with pretty much the same manifestos, none of which is legally binding, nor contains details of what the government is actually going to do. Who voted for war with Iraq and Syria, mass surveillance, internet censorship or locking down the whole country?

We did, however, vote for Brexit, but they took five years to get around to implementing it, and even then it's only on the surface. That is the extent of the 'people ruled' democracy we truly have in this, and most other, so-called democratic nations.

The truth is, we have no say in what goes on or what is being done, other than which colour rosette we prefer at voting time, but they are brilliant at making us believe we do.

We *could* have much more, but we have been led to believe that we don't need to get involved with what governments, local councils and giant corporations/NGOs are up to, even though this is where the levers of power are, and



by **DARREN SMITH**

decisions are made on where vast sums of money will get spent - our money.

And while they are passing laws to steal your money from the bank (The Financial Services (Banking Reform) Act 2013), censor what you say (Online Safety Bill at Report Stage, October 2022), raise your energy bills (Climate Change Act 2008, Carbon Budgets and more), restrict your right to protest (Police, Crime and Sentencing Act 2021), freedom to travel and control your bodily autonomy (Coronavirus Act 2020), and the freedom to educate your children (Schools Bill 2022 about to become law), the majority of people are happy to be distracted with high-definition, supersized, technicolour daydreams of a shiny world they neither need nor will ever have, aided by drugs and stimulants of various types to inoculate them from reality.

Choices will get fewer and fewer as prices go higher and higher, because of the owners' fundamental control of the money system, which means control of the entire economy. Because the largest corporations are traded on the world's stock markets, the money power can purchase the largest stakes in them, creating a situation where one entity owns the entire means of production and distribution, and can then politicise them.

We are forced to swallow the propaganda wherever we go; to mould our behaviour and to accept 'global causes', not

It is hard for people to accept that those who rule see the rest of us as cattle to be herded from birth to slaughterhouse, all as carefully monitored and controlled as in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*

because of their actual danger, but because their constant repetition from every orifice of the media machine means people are simply worn down and browbeaten into accepting nonsense and falsehood.

Monopolies and cartels abound in mining, manufacturing, retail, transport, banking, media, education, health, food, energy, the internet and every other digital and real world sector, and at the summit of ownership lie the mysterious mega-fund Wall Street firms such as Vanguard and BlackRock.

The facts - that they all own stakes in each other and that no-one can go beyond this to see who the ultimate owners are - leads some to believe it is the old money power which has been in place down the centuries, namely the House of Rothschild.

Whilst some might laughingly claim it is antisemitic to do so, shining a light on who controls the giant financial web - covering London, New York, Frankfurt, Hong Kong, Shanghai, Tokyo and the world - helps us focus our efforts in stopping those in control from achieving their diabolical goals.

Another tactic to try and lead people off track is to use the conspiracy theory trope, as if we haven't watched the huge corporations and banks mushroom over the decades, while small businesses got crushed.

And have we not seen the gradual removal of our basic rights under the guise of keeping us safe, plus the piecemeal nudging to live online, where everything can be easily monitored and controlled?

They have everything needed to transform the world into a giant prison, with control of what every individual is and is not allowed to do, including be alive.

Regardless of who is running things and what the relationships are between BlackRock, Vanguard, the Rothschilds, the Vatican, the secret societies, and international bodies like Chatham House and the United Nations, the fact that there are plans afoot to enslave and reduce the world's population is such an open secret now, that only the most hopelessly asleep can fail to see it any longer.

What we do about it is the next question, and it is one where too many think we have no hope, and there is no choice but the one these banksters are deciding for us.

But we do have a choice; we do have power, but only if we use it. Even in a faux democracy, which tyrannies often are, the people can organise in numbers to pressure their 'representatives'. But only if they actually do so will this power mean anything. They are not afraid to do this in China, but somehow in Britain we have become numb, dumb and accepting of more and more state control.

We have a constitution in this country, and it includes the foundational Bill of Rights 1689. The idea that we should no longer abide by the founding principles of our constitution because of the broken promises (treason) of the rulers over the centuries is one wholly emanating from those in power - and should be treated with the suspicion and contempt it deserves.

However, such is the power of

the education, news and media systems, most people do not even know what's happening - how their rights have been trampled on, and what their future holds if they don't wise up quickly.

People know politics is corrupt and that there are megalomaniac billionaires, but they find it hard to accept that there are trillionaires running the entire show, through a fraudulent banking system.

And these trillionaires have supporting billionaires and their organisations and companies, whose collective aim is total control of Earth, including mankind and all resources. This is being made possible through people's acceptance of the digitisation of their lives and the world around them.

It is hard for people to accept that those that rule see the rest of us as cattle to be herded from birth to the slaughterhouse, all as carefully monitored and controlled as in Aldous Huxley's *Brave New World*. Perhaps this is why many of the dystopian books and films are created - to get people used to the idea that this is the natural order of things.

We need to break out of that helpless mentality and take back responsibility for our lives, come together and stand as one against these utterly insane psychopaths who have hidden their intentions very well. Now that it is almost coming to fruition however, it is inevitable that everything will be out in the open.

The only question is, what will we do to stop it?

■ <https://free-trade.org>

CALL TO ACTION

Please CONTACT YOUR MP about "No More Lies" - it couldn't be easier. YOU CAN FIND YOUR LOCAL MP's EMAIL by entering your postcode at:

<https://members.parliament.uk/members/commons>

Add your name and address before sending so they know you're a constituent of their borough. The email might be like this:

Dear [name of MP],

Please take a look at this 4 minute video:

<https://vimeo.com/734311209>

I am sending it so you can see the scandalous way our health, human rights and everyday safety are being ignored. This is the BIGGEST scandal coming the government won't face. No More Lies! Thank you.

Sincerely, [Your name and address].

Video is also posted here:
<https://www.bitchute.com/video/UJv9ZvoDONX/>

AMPLIFY ON
SOCIAL MEDIA!

OTHER WAYS YOU CAN TAKE ACTION: www.rfinfo.co.uk/take-action/

1 FIND YOUR LOCAL MP's EMAIL

By entering your postcode at

<https://members.parliament.uk/members/commons>

2 COPY OR ADAPT THE TEXT ABOVE AND BELOW

Into the body of your email....

'Please also support the Telecommunications Infrastructure (Consultation) originally tabled by Hull MP Dame Diana Johnson (Labour) calling for mandatory local consultation in relation to the installation of telecommunications infrastructure in residential areas and for connected purposes.

The Bill is due to receive its second reading on Friday 18th November 2022

<https://bills.parliament.uk/bills/3225>

I would very much welcome the opportunity to have a discussion about this.

Yours sincerely, etc.'

[Don't forget to add your name and address before sending so they know you're a constituent of their borough.]

References

1. Microwaving Our Planet - Safe Tech International
2. First study so far: 5G Causes the Microwave Syndrome Lennart Hardell et al, 22 Feb. 2022 Swedish Radiation Protection Foundation, 22 Feb. 2022 <https://ehtrust.org/study-5g-causes-microwave-syndrome/>
3. <https://safetechinternational.org/category/news-updates-articles>
4. EEC Recitals
 - a. EEC ARTICLE 45 2 h) ... promote the harmonisation of use of radio spectrum by electronic communications networks and services' ... (pursuing objectives including) ... (h) consistency and predictability throughout the Union regarding the way the use of radio spectrum is authorised in protecting public health taking into account Recommendation 1999/519/EC.
 - b. EEC RECITAL 106 "Competent authorities should seek to reconcile the environmental and public health considerations in question, taking due account of the precautionary approach set out in Council Recommendation 1999/519/EC"
 - c. EEC RECITAL 110 The need to ensure that citizens are not exposed to electromagnetic fields at a level harmful to public health is imperative.
5. <https://strangesounds.org/2019/04/5g-health-insurance-companies-catastrophe.html>
6. <https://www.totaltele.com/513677/Brits-remain-frosty-about-the-benefits-of-5G>

Take the fight against 5G to your local council

Health implications must be considered by local authorities

HAVE you noticed the new facial recognition cameras in supermarkets?

Did you know that from the autumn, emergency alerts will be broadcast from mobile phone masts to every compatible mobile phone or tablet within range?

"The government does not need your phone number to send you an alert... you can opt out of some emergency alerts, but not the most important ones," warns the Government's website at <https://www.gov.uk/alerts>

Did you know that BT and Virgin Media are said to be changing all landlines over to digital without prior notification?

Are you familiar with the details of the World Economic Forum's plan for widespread use of augmented reality technology?

What do these questions all have in common? Answer: the relentless move towards a totally digital world, which is being enabled by 5G.

As Eileen O'Connor explained in her excellent article in issue 21 of *The Light*, 5G is 'an experiment on the population' - one for which there have been no risk assessments and from which, if unchecked, there will be nowhere to escape.

The plan is for every square metre of our world, indeed every thing, event, and place, to be connected to the internet via over 100,000 satellites in low earth orbit (LEO), to communicate with terrestrial 5G, via millions of user terminals and hundreds of earth base stations. It will be impossible to go off grid and, for those who are electrosensitive - who could be said to be the canaries in the mine - it will be a living nightmare.

Lennart Hardell's study of the health effects of 5G radio frequency radiation on humans, (published by the Research Foundation for Environment & Cancer) shows that 5G radiation, at levels well below most international and national guidelines, causes ill health.

by **AMANDA KENTON**

The immediate and long-term polluting effects to humans and the environment are extensive. The negative impacts outweigh any benefits, especially when increased connectivity can be achieved by wired-in fibre optics, which is a far safer alternative.

Anyone who has read Ms O'Connor's article will no doubt have been impressed enough to wonder why governments worldwide are, as she says, 'racing ahead with the 5G rollout and the involuntary radiation of the whole population,' and what we can do to halt this madness.

The answer to the first question is worthy of a number of articles in itself. The fact is that 5G, 6G and beyond are vitally needed to implement the 4th industrial revolution, with its intrinsic AI, Smart Cities, Internet of Things, Internet of Underwater Things, Internet of Bodies and Augmented/Virtual Reality, with real-time 360 bio-surveillance of everyone, to name but a few.

The second question is one that I and a number of other committed and active campaigners have been discussing in depth for almost three years. We have used a number of strategies, including galvanising the support of local residents in order to object to applications for planning permission for masts; raising awareness by writing letters to environmental and other organisations and people of influence; giving talks; distributing flyers and organising peaceful protest events, stand in the park etc., as well as legal action. Although it can seem like an uphill struggle at times, we've had some surprising successes.

Some of us have been writing to councillors and heads of planning to alert them to the fact that, since councils have a duty of care for the safety of their constituents, this should include considering the latest scientific research about radio frequency radiation (RFR) and 5G masts.

We have also informed them there is a misapprehension both in telecoms, their agents and local planning authorities

(LPAs) up and down the land that health effects do not need to be considered.

Yet there are areas of existing UK law, including some retained from EU law, that must be adhered to. It seems that the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection guidelines, which councils routinely use to justify their decisions, are simply that - guidance.

DLA Piper, solicitors to Public Health England, have made it clear that local councils should conduct their own evaluation of such guidance, which implies the weighing of all evidence, including environmental and public health considerations, with regard to involuntary public exposure to radio frequency radiation.

Regarding the uninsurability of this technology, some of us have tactfully pointed out to our local councils that the possible consequential risks a council might face are very pertinent in these financially stretched times.

The website strangesounds.org claimed: 'Lloyds of London, one of the world's premier insurance groups, is refusing to insure health claims made against wireless technologies. And other insurance companies are following Lloyd's lead in this.'

5G is still relatively new, but the research and evidence are clearly stacking up against its use. We are doing our part to raise awareness about the importance of standing up for our freedom, yet it seems that the dangers of RFR are often overlooked. It takes just a few minutes to copy and paste letter templates and email them to our councillors and MPs, whose job it is to protect us from harm.

Awareness needs to be raised about these issues, and simple actions by many can be extremely effective. To protect our freedom, privacy and humanity, please watch this video and send it to your MP and every decision-maker you can think of in three simple steps, as detailed on the left. Thank you.

■ <https://vimeo.com/734311209>

Will it be Charles III or the return of King Arthur?

German and Welsh heritage within royal family

HOW many are aware that our new king chose to be known as Charles III and that it was not automatic?

Charles was always thought to be an unlucky name for British kings, and during the 60s and 70s, there was much speculation about which name the new monarch would adopt if and when he ascended the throne.

The last reigning king, Charles' grandfather, was born Albert Frederick Arthur George, and he was generally known as Albert or as 'Bertie' to close friends and family. Yet, when he ascended to the throne, he chose his fourth name of George, and became George VI. His grandfather, Albert Edward, became Edward VII rather than Albert I, and it has become fairly standard practice for royals to choose, or avoid, certain names.

The name Charles was considered unlucky due to both previous kings of this name coming to very unfortunate ends - Charles I was executed by beheading, and his son Charles II is widely assumed to have died young due to his being poisoned by one or more of a very long list of suspects. Charles I and II were also part of the previous dynasty that the current dynasty replaced - Charles II's younger brother James being the last ruler of the Stuart line, before the Glorious Revolution of 1688, when the hidden powers introduced royals with German rather than British roots to sit on the throne - a situation that, although glossed over as much as possible, has continued ever since.

The full birth name of the new



king is Charles Philip Arthur George, which gives three other possible names to choose from. Philip is the anglicised version of his father's Greek name Filippus, and if adopted may also draw attention to his wonderfully Germanic family name of Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Glücksburg.

It might seem strange to think of this tongue-twister being adopted instead of Windsor, until it is remembered that the royal name of Hanover (also Germanic) came to an end when Victoria (of Hanover) married her first cousin Albert (they shared the same grandparents) and adopted his family name of Saxe-Coburg and Gotha. This was the name of the current royal house until 1917, when Gotha aeroplanes started dropping bombs on

London and the name was no longer something that you wanted embossed on your suitcases.

Without the world wars, there is every chance Elizabeth II would also have taken the name of the third cousin that she married (she shared the same great-great-grandparents with her husband), and curiously, while the name Saxe-Coburg and Gotha was formally dropped in this country, it was only temporarily suspended in Belgium, and has been sneaked back into use over the last ten years. So with closer European integration, who knows?

The name Windsor was copied from the massive castle of that name that is the longest-occupied palace in Europe. It is presented as dating from Norman times and being quintessentially English. What is less known is that the

by K. ROSS BROADSTOCK

The name Charles was considered unlucky due to both previous Kings of this name coming to very unfortunate ends - Charles I was executed by beheading, and his son Charles II is widely assumed to have died young due to his being poisoned by one or more of a very long list of suspects

Charles is said to have always been closest to his uncle, Louis Battenburg/Mountbatten, and in 1960, the Queen issued an order that those descendants without royal titles could bear the surname of Mountbatten-Windsor.

George would seem a solid choice, and this was the bookies' favourite back in the 70s. Maybe the recent film *The Madness of King George*, and the renewed interest into the problems with the early Hanoverians, pushed this out of the running, which leaves the wonderfully evocative name Arthur.

The curious thing about this name is that, while the sixth century British Arthur is generally written off as a myth or legend, he seems to be taken very seriously by the Royal Family. All of the other names they use are taken from their ancestors, and yet George VI, Charles III, the new Prince of Wales, and his youngest child Prince Louis, all have Arthur as a middle name - and for no stated reason.

There is also the rumour that the late Princess Diana could trace her lineage all the way back to the historical King Arthur of sixth century Wales, with William being touted as a possible link back to the ancient British royal family of pre-Saxon times.

The coronation of the new king is still at least six months away and for various reasons there is still a chance that the name will be Arthur. Whether or not he will be known as Arthur I or II, or even Arthur Wales will be very fascinating indeed.

■ **K. Ross Broadstock is an author, illustrator and publisher of books that deal with history which, for political reasons, has either been removed from our history books or never made it. The 'Britainshiddenhistory Ross' Youtube channel has hundreds of videos and you can see Ross live every Sunday at 8pm.**

■ **Websites: www.britainshiddenhistory.co.uk <https://cymroglyphics.com>**

central mound on which the original central tower was built predates the Normans by at least a couple of thousand years. The mound was originally constructed as a 'Gorsedd' - 'supreme seat' or throne, where meetings were held and justice carried out.

The word Windsor stems from [G]wyn-tor, with Gwyn meaning white, fair or blessed, and a tor being a mound. It was the 'sacred mound' and very important in ancient times. The 'white tower' in London was also built on a similar gorsedd/mound, with a similar name and purpose and, like Windsor, it still carries its ancient name to this day - even if most people are unaware of it.

So, while Windsor was chosen for being English, it is really a much more ancient British name and from Welsh roots.

Heroes of truth and activism: Brian Gerrish of UK Column

by **DARREN SMITH**

Uncensored debate will protect us from tyranny

You started as a newspaper as well didn't you? How did the UK Column come about?

Around 2004, there was a group of us that had come together who knew something was wrong - we were seeing lots of fraud and corruption, particularly within European funding to transform and regenerate areas, and seeing the entire EU as a fraudulent project - a dictatorship.

I started to talk locally on these subjects, and eventually we were given a little office, and started to publish our information as the Devonport Column. (There is a tall stone column in Devonport with nothing on it, where our name came from.)

In the first month we published 500 copies of a double-sided A4 sheet, little paragraph stories about EU fraud and corruption, council bullying etc.. After a few months, people started to phone us and get in touch about what they were seeing, sharing their stories - police intimidation, businesses being stolen, corruption within government agencies such as these regional development agencies. So we started to print these stories as well.

We went from A4 to an A3 folded sheet, doubling our content, and eventually we started to receive regular donations and some sizeable ones to assist us, so we could print a whole newspaper, changing the name to UK Column to show it covered the whole of the country.

Mike Robinson came and joined us from France where he'd gone to be self-sufficient, and he really helped elevate the newspaper production. His background is I.T. and he has a very astute view on a lot of subjects, so he gave up his nice life in France to come back to help.

We produced it for a number of years, but eventually we decided we'd be able to reach more people quicker going online, which led us

turning into the UK Column News production around 2012.

How has it been so successful and held its reputation so high?

Two reasons: it was extraordinary that many of us felt called to do it, and when we started we experienced very wonderful help in unexpected ways, some amazing things. For instance, one month we didn't know how we were going to pay the bills, and someone would make a sizeable donation just in time. Or we'd need an overhead projector and screen for talks, and someone would knock on the door with them. We believe they were a sign from above that we were doing the right things.

From the earliest days, we had an amazing group of people who have been very dedicated and worked extremely hard as a vocation, because once we got going, we knew it wasn't something we could walk away from.

How do we grow the truth media?

We've really got to take on the professional media people by being better than they are. It's no good being passionate and shouty and just pushing info at the audience in one big blob. You've got to lead people through all of the lies and the propaganda and the lies by omission, lead them to the truth a step at a time.

We used to have a little expression, because we could look out of our office over all the grey slate roofs in Plymouth: what we were looking at was what we called 'middle England'. They had jobs, houses, but they didn't know much about what's going on. If we're going to make a major change in this country, we have to get the people who are middle England to understand what's happening. Because they have the means to influence other people.

We've got to be very measured in what we say, and also we're not going to give them too much in one go. We're going to lead them up the steps of understanding. So if you talk in a reasoned and measured way on one subject and they pay attention, then they will follow you into other subject areas.

Sometimes we've been criticised for not talking about this or that subject. We say we believe at the moment, these particular areas are the priorities and we don't want to overload people. Once they start to



Photo by Stephanie and Josie

wake up, they will go to all sorts of different places to learn more. It's not necessarily a good thing to try and tell people everything you know in one go.

One of the things that keeps coming up in the truth movement over the years is squabbling. So people who are passionate about one area will suddenly start attacking people who are passionate about another subject, and this is ridiculous. We've got to work together and understand different viewpoints. We've all got to aim at the truth, but we might come at it from different directions. If we don't all stick together, then we're all being split up into groups and at each other's throats, and there's only one group of people who win there.

The new media has made huge progress. There's a maturity, it's becoming calmer. Many people are now delivering products which are way better than BBC, ITV or Sky News, and this is very powerful, because it's showing the government and the media that the people don't need them. We can produce news and documentaries better than they can, and there are more of us. If we can ensure the whole of social media is awash with the truth and facts and well-researched programmes, we're going to be winning.

Isn't there a problem with online censorship and marginalisation?

YouTube took down the UK Column channel for an interview with a lady whose husband was vaccine-damaged, calling it misinformation, even though the *Daily Mail* were allowed to run the same report a few weeks later. We simply moved to other platforms. We shouldn't worry too much about the future, and take each day as it comes.

If you can push your information out today, tomorrow, the next day - you just keep going.

Numbers are on our side and they are rapidly increasing. Another indicator we are heading in the right direction is that many more professional people are prepared to engage with us. We've got doctors, GPs, psychologists, lawyers. These are professional people prepared to come and discuss their concerns with us. They trust us, they see we're measured and prepared to listen to what they say. We are now winning professionals, and when they speak out, other professionals pay attention. By being very measured and telling things in a polite language that middle England can understand, we've also attracted people in more professional and powerful positions, and as we do that, they influence more people to come to the truth about what is really going on.

What do you think are the biggest imminent threats?

Vaccines - because you can't undo an injection. People need to be able to make an informed decision on what the risks are. Once the experimental concoction is in, you cannot take it out.

Second, the government is using applied behavioural psychology to change the way we think and behave. We've been talking about this for a long time, but it came to the fore during the covid crisis.

In March 2020, the minutes of the psychological SPI-B team stated that the government's policy was to make people more fearful and anxious of covid-19, in order that they would adhere more easily with lockdown and other policies. We've done several interviews with professionals over recent weeks, warning about

how dangerous this is.

In 2010, the UK government produced a document called 'MindSpace', in which it states that they will be able to change the way people think and behave, and they will not know that this has been done, or if they realise that their behaviour has been changed, they will not necessarily know how it was changed.

This is unbelievably sinister. It's one thing to put open propaganda out and bombard people, but if you start using applied psychology to support political agendas, this is brainwashing, this is mind control - taking free will away from people.

And third, the government wants to take control of our children. We saw this in Scotland with the named person scheme. When families realised the implications of this and pushed back, one MP said 'don't worry, parents will also have a role in bringing up their children'. Now we have the relationship and sexual education agendas in Wales and Scotland, which we believe the objective is to sexualise children and ultimately the normalisation of sex between children and adults. If we don't protect our children, we have no future. There are some very brave ladies in Wales (PCPW, *The Light* Issue 25) pushing back against this.

What should we do about it? Where should those who have woken up focus their efforts?

Spreading the truth, facts and information about what is really going on, and everybody should be using a very, very powerful word, and that word is NO.

'We're going to give you a vaccine' - NO!

'We're going to educate your children' - NO!

'We're going to use applied psychology' - NO!

This is a word that people have forgotten the power of.

'No, we're not doing it!' - it's very easy, although if a few people do it then it doesn't have much impact, but when a million people do it, then it has a huge effect.

So people need to be spreading the truth, facts and analysis, and saying 'NO!' to the government's agenda wherever they can.

■ **UK Column News is live at 1pm every Monday, Wednesday and Friday at www.ukcolumn.org/**

Is man the terror of the ecosystem?

by LLOYD JAMES

WEF's Harari calls for algorithms to protect us from ourselves

ECONOMICS studies people in society and how they interact to produce, share and distribute goods and services.

It is a social science that aims to synthesise the incredibly complex and chaotic world into theories, models and diagrams. To be able to do this, economists need to make assumptions about the way people behave.

When Adam Smith first invented the theory of capitalism, he claimed consumers were always rational and would spend the money they earn in the best way possible to improve their standard of living. And because they lived in an interconnected world, they were also incentivised to look after the environment as a healthy environment increased individuals' standards of living.

The assumption of a rational consumer has been proven false in the real world, and a strange new solution to the 'problem' of human behaviour is being pushed by Yuval Noah Harari, Professor in the Department of History at Jerusalem University, and one of the advisors at the World Economic Forum.

Harari's opening chapter in his book, *Sapiens: A Brief History of Humankind*, claims that homo sapiens were an 'animal of no significance' until we gained power over our surroundings, due to technological advancements. He then goes on to list the destruction of the environment, slavery, empires and wars that we have created throughout our history. To quote his afterword: "Seventy thousand years ago, homo sapiens were still an insignificant animal minding its own business in a corner of Africa. In the following millennia it transformed itself into the masters of the entire planet and the terror of the ecosystem. Today it stands on the verge of becoming a god."

The recount of human history in Harari's book gives a very pessimistic view of human behaviour. An interesting observation is that, in his view, it is human behaviour, not



Yuval Noah Harari

A key theory in the nudge unit's social control arsenal is its knowledge of social inclusion and its ability to override independent thought in favour of group acceptance

rulers' behaviour, that is the problem. It is no wonder then that, with Harari advising the World Economic Forum, the WEF seems to have concluded the only solution to manage 'the terror of the ecosystem' is through centralisation and total control.

Harari has mentioned in many interviews the idea of humans being hackable animals, and the myth of free will. He suggests that this can be used by central planners to manage an economy. The rationale for Harari's theories can again be seen in his book, where he suggests human consciousness has been influenced by the various stories that we share.

These stories then create shared values and ideals that permeate society. He suggests 'myths', such

as our constitutions, human rights and private property, are only stories that we have told ourselves. If we told ourselves a new and different story, all these 'old ideas' would be forgotten.

The human rights that we have were gained over hundreds of years, and were achieved from a bottom-up approach, with ideas being passed from person to person until a consensus was reached across society. It is no wonder then that, with many of Harari's ideas being used for models and theories at the WEF, their economists would appreciate the chance to easily change the stories that we tell ourselves, through a top-down approach, making change rapid in comparison to the bottom-up approach.

Economists have known about the tools to manipulate behaviour, spending habits and choices for many years. The reasoning for using these tools is that humans are irrational and make the wrong decisions. They believe that because we need the 'myth' of free will to operate in society, governments must secretly influence us to make the correct decision, rather than overtly force us into a decision they want us to make.

A key thinker in public relations, Edward Bernays, wrote his book *Propaganda* in 1928, and his ideas and theories about appealing to unconscious desires and fears have been applied to marketing, such as promoting female smoking by branding

cigarettes as feminist 'torches of freedom'.

These psychological strategies were adapted and manipulated for government and are in heavy use to this day. The UK behavioural insights team, or 'nudge unit', has designed many government adverts, websites and forms, including organ donation campaigns and covid posters, to modify and adapt our behaviour in numerous ways, most often using fear as a primary behavioural modification driver.

The rise of big data and social media has given the behavioural insights team the perfect tools to nudge us into the decisions and changes in our behaviour that they want us to make. A key theory in the nudge unit's social control arsenal is its knowledge of social inclusion, and its ability to override independent thought in favour of group acceptance.

Governments and organisations can therefore spread approved social values that change behaviour, using social media influencing, as well as all traditional media. When people do not follow the consensus they risk social exclusion, which may result in being ostracised, leading to loss of reputation, which could mean loss of employment and wages.

However, the big issue for the Nudge Unit is the freedom of speech that is still available on these platforms, and information and facts that dilute their messages.

Harari has stated in interviews that free will is over for humans, but he wants to convince us that this is a good thing. He believes that we can connect humans with AI surveillance that can go under our skin.

And - combining that with data from social media, online purchases and website use - algorithms now have the potential to know us better than we know ourselves, he claims.

In Harari's eyes, this is a benefit to us - when we make a choice, we can ask an algorithm to make the choice for us, ensuring the most rational choice for our benefit, and the protection of the environment. To him, this is the best solution to save ourselves from us, 'the terror of the ecosystem'.

Is there a bottom-up, decentralised alternative to this seemingly insurmountable problem of irrational behaviour? See in the next issue.

Don't ignore democratic tools

Don't just vote, speak face-to-face with councillors

POLITICALLY, I live in a safe seat, controlled as it is by Conservatives, and I never felt my needs or aspirations championed by any of my MPs.

I'm 47 years old, I have experienced apathy and failed to vote in elections, but mostly I voted ironically, proving the act of voting to be the very definition of madness.

2017: I took the leap and joined one of the national political parties. I witnessed a passionate campaign to oust the incumbent Conservative MP. We failed and somehow succeeded in planting the seeds of a bitter rivalry with the other left-wing political parties. Knowing that every extra person standing for the MP's job split the vote in the Conservatives' favour, it meant in that election - and later elections - that many activists seemed to spend more time arguing with potential allies than debating Tories.

May 2021: I stood for a county council seat, as well as a parish council seat. Due to vacancies, the parish seat was guaranteed, but unfortunately the council seat was a

by **PATRICK BARRY**

no-go, the incumbent Conservative kept his position.

Holding a seat on the parish council is a learning curve. People from all political leanings work together. My suspicion that low-level conservatives are well intentioned and working for their community has only been reinforced over the last few months. But how they continue to support their leadership's neo-liberal policies has me wondering about other important attributes.

As a new parish councillor, my intuition indicates the system is designed to constrain. Money is finite and solutions seem to involve public-private enterprises, which are profitable for the private. I suspect more things could be done but the public, which perhaps has the ideas and the motivation to better the community, do not communicate with councillors.

Parish councillors are the public's first point of contact with the democratic process, but communication - which is so vital to empower democracy - seems poor on both sides. I believe I should be delivering some sort of monthly communication to my ward members, but there seems little news to share, and should something

Talk to your councillors. They may not agree, but they will see just how ordinary and reasonable we are, making it harder to dehumanise our argument with conspiracy theory and disinformation labels

noteworthy happen then the question arises: is it appropriate to comment publicly on a matter that is ongoing?

Plus, I genuinely don't have the time or infrastructure to contact everyone in my ward regularly. I suspect my failure to communicate is only perpetuating the cycle of tacit complicity, and reducing my constituents' faith in me.

I took my seat while restrictions were still in place, but being awake I was one of the few councillors not masked in meetings. I attempted to share data with the mayor and any councillor who would listen, and used email extensively to message the MP and the county council, but to little noticeable effect. 'It is not our responsibility,' was the usual excuse for inactivity.

Those councillors who are awake



will feel isolated and powerless. For example, I attended a Health and Wellbeing Committee meeting. The guest speaker was the leader of the local hospital, and they mentioned staff shortages, so I criticised the policy of sacking/moving those staff who chose to remain job-free. The hospital leader didn't have to respond to my criticism, as many of the 20 other councillors in attendance immediately expressed their discontent, with the chairperson going so far as to say I was out of order, and that line of debate wouldn't be tolerated.

Being alone in a hostile room is intimidating but since becoming a parish councillor I have discovered tools exist to empower democracy and pack a room with allies. Any six people on a Parish Electoral

Register may call a parish meeting, this entitles any person on the electoral register to speak about any matter that is pertinent to the parish - closing parks, schools and sharing information that could save lives.

For a parish meeting, the town clerk has to organise the venue and (unless unwilling) take minutes, whilst ensuring expenses are covered by the parish. At the meeting, the media is allowed to be present, and all issues are decided by a majority of those ward members present, and is legally recognised. Furthermore, a poll may be demanded on any questions arising, meaning those questions will be polled with every voter in the parish. The power of this democratic tool is potentially very useful.

It shouldn't really matter who represents you - democracy is served best by face-to-face dialogue, rather than voting once every few years. Talk to your councillors, encourage other like-minded people to contact them. They may not agree, but they will see just how ordinary and reasonable we are, making it harder to dehumanise our argument with conspiracy theory and disinformation labels.

■ www.askyourcouncil.uk/understanding-your-council/parish-meetings-and-parish-polls

How education creates drones for the system

Break free from being a loyal repeater for authority

by **CINDY NILES**

to put your indoctrination into practice. Your faithful reproduction of the syllabus was handsomely rewarded by assessors and you will continue to be rewarded many times over, if you stick to the behavioural training of clapping like a seal on command.

It cannot be overstated that appealing to authority is the cornerstone of modern schooling. Therefore, every single time you cite the 'approved expert' you collect a brownie point. It is worth continuing this habit in your social life, because other indoctrinated people will be impressed that you memorised what someone else said, and will be thus comforted by your agreeability and predictability.

Continuing to be a loyal repeater in the workplace is paramount. Employers need people following orders - not reinventing the wheel, not questioning company policy, not changing things for the better.



In sum, one can grow a legion of acquaintances, accolades, material possessions, and pride, with a retentive memory and repetition.

Degree holders who have never examined anything outside of the prescribed reading list (they never had time) hold their heads high at their achievements - appealing to authority gave them status, a career and a comfortable living.

To admit to themselves that they weren't taught to think, but only *what* to think would, by definition, mean they acknowledge they haven't an opinion of their

own - that their opinion has never mattered and never will matter.

Imagine the cognitive dissonance one might feel if forced to contemplate that everything gained in life wasn't a great intellectual feat but a whole lot of regurgitation. Furthermore, bucking this system means biting the hand that feeds them.

Those who haven't attended university will not be told that it is funded and coordinated by private industry. Private enterprise pays so that its interests are instilled in the prospective employees who will be hired to turn them a profit. The government has no objections, as they too are funded by private industry and organisations that call the shots. Ultimately, they also need obedient order followers.

The greatest deception of all is that people have been conditioned to believe they cannot educate themselves. They've been manipulated into concluding the human mind is a memory stick rather than a self-learning,

self-aware enigma capable of independent observation, reason, application, knowledge, creativity and finally - wisdom.

Never again feel inadequate about being a school leaver. Never again be smug that you have a Masters degree. Forget the special bit of parchment paper hanging on the wall, and celebrate the bit of paper not hanging on the wall.

The stakes are higher than they have ever been because socially fabricated mind control is being developed into physically engineered steering, from under the skin.

Irreversible gene therapies and implantable bio-nano technologies are around the corner. Transhumanism is being sold to the repeaters as inevitable progress, convenience, evolution, and immortality. Unfortunately, generational conditioning has them repeating the sales pitch of the hivemind already.

This is an multigenerational war and schools are the battleground.

Sky's the limit for HOPE Sussex

BORN out of resistance and protest to the monstrous attempt to submerge us into totalitarianism, HOPE has flourished, attracting freedom loving people from across the spectrum into our fold.

As a true community centre, our facilities are available for people to utilise, with any funds raised heading directly back into HOPE to help keep us growing.

In many ways, HOPE is one of the greatest success stories to come out of the past three years, and we have undoubtedly helped to crystallise the frustration and burning desire for change within our community, and direct that energy into a truly positive direction. Aside from having our buildings ready to be used to present tutorials, social events and seminars, we have also recently opened our Community Hub, where healthy food and drinks are served, which will also help to support us.

We have so far held several freedom embracing events that have been very well attended, and the feedback has been excellent. We have some other very special events planned for the near future - so keep an eye out for these.

Our recent HOPE Freedom Music Festival over the last weekend of July was a fantastic success and exceeded our own expectations. We completely sold out our ticket allocation, which we could have easily doubled. The music and various artists were all on great form, delivering top class performances and all attendees were respectful, in high spirits and very forthcoming with their kind words of support, and promises of future involvement and backing for us. It was absolutely wonderful.

On the following Monday morning, our team sleepily made its way out onto the festival fields to start litter-picking, and we couldn't believe our eyes - there simply wasn't any to pick! Everyone had either taken it home with them or already binned it for us. This is something none of us had ever witnessed before at a festival, large or small, and it speaks volumes as to the calibre of people that attended.

The enemies of freedom are very aware that there has been an

by **MATT SINGLE**



explosion of individuals, groups and organisations that value truth and fearlessness. We are becoming increasingly organised, and we are growing. They are also well aware that our burgeoning freedom movement largely grew out of the direct attack on us that started in March 2020.

I do believe the deep arrogance of the parasitical globalists means that they are very likely to simply continue along the same vein of propagating baseless fear-mongering and division, to desperately retain control over us 'useless eaters'. There is little else they can throw at us except for more of the same, because despite their vast wealth and total control over an immense propaganda machine, they are still entirely two-dimensional, unimaginative and deeply conceited. The latest series of Parliamentary Bills are a perfect example of this, with a candy-coated chunk of pure despotism and fear-mongering written throughout all of it, like a stick of rock from a Brighton seafront souvenir shop, reading - BE SCARED OF US.

Specifically, the new 'Schools Bill' is so entrenched in this mire of autocracy, it even openly states it will imprison us for up to fifty-one weeks if we fail to send our kids into the brainwashing centres it calls schools, if ordered to do so by its agents. It goes on to state that if a grandad teaches his grandson how to fix a lawnmower for example, his shed and his 'lesson plans' are subject to an Ofsted inspection. It is complete lunacy and entirely unenforceable and wholly dependent on whether us minions actually comply with it. Judging by

how we overwhelmingly defeated the state's intention of mandating vaccines in the entire population, it does not look very promising for them at all.

The fact remains that the sheer numbers of parents removing their children from state indoctrination in the UK is accelerating, and has increased by 40 per cent in the last three years. What is also noteworthy is that home-educated kids very often score far higher academically than their state-schooled counterparts of the same age group, with levels of contentment and well-being recorded as considerably higher.

I can speak from my own experience as a tutor, that I find long-term home-educated children very often far more grounded, mature and engaging, than those very recently removed from the system, especially the younger ones. However, what is also incredible is just how quickly those recently freed minds change, as the light in their eyes is switched on fully and their true potential revealed. It is a wonderful privilege to witness.

We now have a range of experienced tutors ready at HOPE to lease our facilities to provide them with a safe and nurturing environment, with full access to mother nature right on our doorstep, with green fields of open natural spaces to explore. Our area is known for its rich soil and a wide and diverse abundance of plant life, for which so many remedies and natural medicines can be produced. This is one aspect of learning we fully embrace at HOPE.

As HOPE continues to gain traction with our ethos of inclusivity, truth and love, we will adapt confidently and effectively as a community to overcome any obstacles thrown at us, and as one united front. Numbers matter when dealing with a state that relies on people's submission to expand its power, and the bigger we get, the more power and defence we will have against it.

We are the future - so watch this space.

■ hopesussexcommunity@protonmail.com

■ www.hopesussex.co.uk

Ignore the freedom movement at your peril

by **CAMPBELL THOMSON**

AS an anthropology PhD student, I am fascinated by the waves of organised opposition to mainstream political, social and medical narratives which punctuate political life in the UK.

Since the beginning of covid lockdowns in March 2020, the freedom movement has been at the forefront of this. Through meeting with its activists and attending protests and outreach events, it has become clear to me that it poses crucial questions at a time of unprecedented upheaval across the United Kingdom.

While long predating 2020, the movement has proven its ability to bring thousands onto the streets of towns and cities across the UK, in opposition to lockdowns and compulsory vaccination measures. It has galvanised a cross-section of society spanning traditional left-right divides, as well as those of age, sex, class, race and religion.

This begs the question as to why there remains a conspicuous absence of serious engagement with the movement and its concerns, either by academics or mainstream media voices.

Selectively edited videos of brief - and largely peaceful - stand-offs between senior politicians and individual activists allow media organisations to perpetuate disparaging narratives of 'dangerous conspiracy theorists' threatening the social order. Yet this approach fails to take seriously the movement, as it campaigns on issues which should be front-and-centre within a legitimate democratic process.

What unites the freedom movement is an activist-minded concern over encroachments on civil liberties, the continued creep of surveillance into public and private life, and the social marginalisation of those who resist the current push for a compulsorily 'digital' model of civic society.

Its members are united, too, by what seems a shared experience of 'waking up' to the perceivably false nature of myths presented to us by those in power. This puts forward a markedly powerful notion: that by working to dispel these false myths it will lead to truth, from which freedom can emerge.

The freedom movement is a broad church, uniting a nationwide network of issue-led campaigning organisations, advocacy groups and independent voices, and incorporates



Photo: David Ellison Photography

its own public sphere of online and offline social networks.

Like any social or political movement, it has its points of division and disagreement. These relate to the specifics of what is really going on - for instance, in relation to the precise identity, and the extent of intentionality and reach of the movement's core opponents. These reflect, too, the myriad political orientations of the movement's members, whether they lean left, right, or as aligned in other terms entirely.

The movement must also carry out a careful balancing act, in negotiating where exactly its priorities should lie. Specifically, this relates to the extent to which it should seek to reach out to those not yet 'awake', versus galvanising and supporting those already within its ranks.

For many within the movement, these tensions are rooted in a necessary vigilance towards identifying forces of controlled opposition and infiltration, as well as attempted subversion and dilution of the movement's central principles.

It is easy to observe, then, a great deal of debate within the movement around where its attention should be focused. That said, the unveiling of greater truths which is so central within the movement necessarily requires space for respectful disagreement.

This present-day moment of widespread social and economic turbulence, and deep distrust in establishment politics, seems a crucial one for the movement. Living through times of seemingly constant crisis, in which commentators note the possibility of wholesale social breakdown, is leading a growing number of people to question the political and economic reality with which we are presented.

What is really going on becomes difficult to fathom. Across the UK, the next year has the potential to be a significant populist moment, one which mainstream media voices and academics alike ignore at their peril.

■ **Email:** campbell.thomson.19@ucl.ac.uk

NMENTS

MED

LITARY

BANKING

FOOD



FULL SPECTRUM DO

While the rest of us are experiencing the worst drop in living standards since the 1970s, the King Solomon, at the same time as acquiring each other, leaving us with fewer and fewer choices, almost all available to be bought and sold on the world's stock markets, and a few huge investment companies, acting in tandem with the state and using their economic muscle to nudge and control us to take, should alarm everyone across the spectrum of political viewpoints - aside from au

Truth emerging: Covid shot highly dangerous and utterly ineffective

As vaccine mandates are dropped in New Zealand and Canada, the trickle of scientific research is becoming an avalanche

‘Unethical’ and up to 98 times worse than the disease: top scientists publish paradigm-shifting study

(Epoch Times) A TEAM of nine experts from Harvard, Johns Hopkins, and other top universities has published paradigm-shifting research about the efficacy and safety of the covid-19 vaccines and why mandating vaccines for college students is unethical.

This 50-page study, which was published on The Social Science Research Network at the end of August, analysed CDC and industry-sponsored data on vaccine adverse events, and concluded that mandates for covid-19 boosters for young people may cause 18 to 98 actual serious adverse events for each covid-19 infection-related hospitalisation theoretically prevented:

“Using CDC and sponsor-reported adverse event data, we find that booster mandates may cause a net expected harm: per covid-19 hospitalisation prevented in previously uninfected young adults, we anticipate 18 to 98 serious adverse events, including 1.7 to 3.0 booster-associated myocarditis cases in males, and 1,373 to 3,234 cases of grade ≥ 3 reactogenicity which interferes with daily activities.

“University booster mandates are unethical because: 1) no formal risk-benefit assessment exists for this age group; 2) vaccine mandates may result in a net expected harm to individual young people; 3) mandates are not proportionate: expected harms are not outweighed by public health benefits given the modest and transient effectiveness of vaccines against transmission; 4) US mandates violate the reciprocity principle because rare serious vaccine-related harms will not be reliably compensated due to gaps in current vaccine injury schemes; and 5) mandates create wider social harms.

“We consider counter-arguments such as a desire for socialisation and safety and show that such arguments lack scientific and/or ethical support.

“Finally, we discuss the relevance of our analysis for current 2-dose covid-19 vaccine mandates in North America.”

‘Smoking gun’: new actuarial report reaffirms the trail of death caused by vaccine mandates

(Vigilant Fox) IN March, Edward Dowd dropped a bomb using the CDC’s own data to show an 84 per cent increase in excess mortality among the millennial cohort, temporally related to the introduction of vaccine mandates from the summer into the fall.

“There were 61,000 excess millennial deaths. Basically, millennials experienced a Vietnam War in the second half of 2021.

“This is what we call democide, death by government.”

Fast-forwarding to August 17, a report by the Society of Actuaries Research Institute now has confirmed

Dowd’s findings. The report said:

“In Q3, into the mandates, there was an alarming spike in working-age excess deaths. In the 35 to 44[-year-old cohort], it was 100% over normal for that quarter.”

“This should be front page of the Wall Street Journal, New York Times, Washington Post. It should be on Fox, CBS, NBC, ABC. This needs to stop!”

The naysayers say that these excess deaths are because of long covid, suicides, missed cancer screenings, drug overdoses, and so on. “The problem with that, as you can see, temporarily, is the rate of change in the third quarter. And you can’t say to me that simultaneously, everyone decided to commit suicide, overdose on drugs, or miss their cancer-screening treatments. It makes no sense for that age group. That’s number one. So temporarily, the rate of change is the smoking gun for that age group.”

Nearly 1 in 6 “fully vaxed” American adults now suffers from serious health problems

(Natural News) RECENTLY, John Zogby Strategies conducted a survey revealing that upwards of 15 percent of all American adults who got “vaccinated” for the Wuhan coronavirus (covid-19) now suffer from at least one serious health condition.

Commissioned by Children’s Health Defense (CHD), the survey aimed to assess the attitudes and overall health of American adults, many of whom fell for the Operation Warp Speed scam and are now paying the price for it.

Of those who participated in the survey, 67 percent indicated that they received one or more doses of

the injection while the remaining 33 per cent just said no to experimental drugs from the government. Of the vaccinated group, six per cent took just one dose; 28 percent took two doses; 21 per cent received three doses; and the remaining 12 per cent took four or more injections.

All in all, 15 percent of the fully jabbed group have since been diagnosed by a medical professional as having a new health condition that was not present pre-injection. These conditions appeared within weeks or even days post-injection.

“The fact that the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reports more than 232 million Americans ages 18-65 have taken at least one dose of the covid-19 vaccine, and 15 per cent of those surveyed report a newly diagnosed condition is concerning and needs further study,” says Laura Bono, executive director of CHD.

“The temporal relationship between injections and events - including deaths - is unequivocal. It’s been studied repeatedly. The ‘correlation is not NECESSARILY causation’ whine is over. Every test of causality is met. If there’s causality, there’s correlation. We have mechanistic predictions made before roll-out that predicted serious adverse events would follow injections. We and others predicted the nature of the pathology, which was seen repeatedly. So you’ve mechanism of injury, the occurrence of that injury, the time relationship between injection and that injury, and Ed Dowd’s colleagues showing all causes mortality peaking right after injection. No alternative hypotheses fit. This is a once in several thousand years event, actuarially. Stop prevaricating. The covid-19 vaccines have killed a lot of entirely healthy people who were at zero risk. I call it state mandated murder.”

Dr Mike Yeadon

Nearly 50 members of Congress call on Pentagon to end military vaccine mandate

(Epoch Times) NEARLY 50 Republican lawmakers, led by Rep. Mike Johnson (R-La.), have called on the Department of Defense (DOD) to withdraw its covid-19 vaccine mandate for military members, citing concerns over the mandate’s impact on the readiness of the U.S. armed forces.

In a letter to Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin dated September 15, the lawmakers, including Reps. Chip Roy

(R-Texas) and Thomas Massie (R-Ky.), expressed their “grave concerns” over the impact of the mandate, particularly with regard to the U.S. Army.

“As a result of your mandate, eight percent of the Army’s approximately one million soldiers face expulsion, Army recruiters cannot meet their FY22 target, and the Army has cut its projected FY23 end strength by 12,000 soldiers,” they wrote.

“The Department of Defense’s own covid response page indicates that approximately 900,000 soldiers are fully vaccinated out of the one million soldiers in the Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard,” the letter reads.

New Zealand scraps nearly all covid-19 restrictions

(Epoch Times) NEW ZEALAND will be retiring its covid-19 traffic light system and significantly scaling down covid restrictions from September 13 so Kiwis could ‘move forward with certainty’, Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern announced.

“It’s time to safely turn the page on our covid-19 management, and live without the extraordinary measures we have previously used,” Ardern said, calling it a ‘milestone’.

With the abolition of the traffic light covid protection framework, mask mandates will be lifted in all

areas except in healthcare and aged care settings.

Household contacts will no longer need to isolate, while people tested positive to covid-19 will continue to be required to isolate for seven days.

All government vaccine mandates will end on September 26, and all vaccination requirements for incoming travellers and aircrew will also be removed.

After restrictions are lifted, it will be up to the employer’s discretion whether they will require workers to wear masks or get vaccinated for covid-19.

“In short, we now move on to a simple two requirements system of masks in healthcare settings and seven days isolation for positive cases only,” Ardern said.

New Zealand PM calls for global censorship

by JONATHAN TURLEY

NEW ZEALAND Prime Minister Jacinda Ardern used her speech to the United Nations this week to call for censorship on a global scale.

She lashed out at ‘disinformation’ and called for a coalition to control speech. After nodding toward free speech, she proceeded to lay out a plan for its demise through government regulation:

“But what if that lie, told repeatedly, and across many platforms, prompts, inspires, or motivates others to take up arms? To threaten the security of others? To turn a blind eye to atrocities, or worse, to become complicit in them? What then? This is no longer a hypothetical. The weapons of war have changed; they are upon us and require the same level of action and activity that we put into the weapons of old.”

To answer her question on ‘how do you tackle climate change if people do not believe it exists’ is that you convince people using the same free speech. Instead, Ardern appears to want to silence those who have doubts.

While referring to a censorship coalition as a ‘light-touch approach to disinformation,’ Ardern revealed how sweeping such a system would likely be. She defended the need for such draconian censorship on having to combat those who question climate change and the need to stop “hateful and dangerous rhetoric and ideology.”

“After all, how do you successfully end a war if people are led to believe the reason for its existence is not only legal but noble? How do you tackle climate change if people do not believe it exists? How do you ensure the human rights of others are upheld, when they are subjected to hateful and dangerous rhetoric and ideology?”

That is the same rationale used by authoritarian countries like China, Iran, and Russia to censor dissidents, minority groups, and political rivals. What is ‘hateful’ and ‘dangerous’ is a fluid concept that governments have historically used to silence critics or dissenters.

■ <https://jonathanturley.org/2022/09/25/new-zealand-prime-minister-calls-for-global-censorship-system/>

Court rules ‘Kill the Boer’ song not hate speech

Lobbyists argue historic chant incites violence

by PAUL BENNETT

A controversial South African song, Dubul’ ibhunu, translated as ‘Kill the Boer’ or ‘Shoot the Boer’, has been deemed not to be hate speech by a Johannesburg High Court.

In June, the Afrikaaner rights organisation and lobby group AfriForum brought a hate speech court action case over the inflammatory ‘liberation’ song arguing that lyrics in the song were hateful and incited violence against white South Africans. The song is often heard at rallies for radical political party Economic Freedom Fighters (EFF). EFF was formed in 2013 by former African National Congress (ANC) member Julius Malema.

Judge Edwin Molahlehi sitting as an ‘equality court’ in the

High Court, ruled the song did not constitute as hate speech in a contentious court ruling. His judgement reported by the *Daily Maverick* in South Africa stated: “It does not constitute hate speech and deserves to be protected under the rubric of freedom of speech - it articulates the failure of the current government to address issues of economic empowerment and land division.”

Ernst Roets, head of policy and action at AfriForum, said: “We work constantly with the victims of farm attacks, as well as the families of those killed in these gruesome crimes.

“We understand the pain and trauma these victims and survivors have to live with. We are therefore deeply disappointed with today’s verdict. There is no place in society for songs that encourage the killing of people based on their identity.

“Today’s ruling proved how the political order in South Africa is becoming radicalised. This case

once again confirms that AfriForum must now focus its attention on strengthening and intensifying our safety structures and security training.”

In a controversial statement after the court hearing, EFF said: “The case by the racists, which sought to erase the cultural element of the liberation struggle for South Africa, has fallen flat on its face. The landmark judgement must be welcomed by all progressive forces as a victory against racists.”

New South African political party ActionSA established in 2020 by the former Mayor of Johannesburg, Herman Mashaba, responded to the court outcome by saying: “ActionSA condemns, in the strongest terms possible, those who are not committed to building a shared future for South Africa, and instead seek to promote violence and further divide us. We will continue to work to unite the good, hard-working, and law-abiding people of South Africa behind our objective to fix our beloved country.”

Phumlani Majozi, senior fellow

at African Liberty, said the court outcome was a kick in the face of positive progress in South Africa. Mr Majozi said: “Chanting ‘Kill the Boer’ will not do South Africa any good. What makes things worse is that the chant is by an organisation that sits in South Africa’s legislature. That makes the whole situation even more dangerous.

“The EFF is not supposed to be in South Africa’s legislature, it is an organisation that promotes violence, promotes racism, and is communist. The fact that South Africans sent this organisation to the legislature is one of South Africa’s biggest blunders in the modern era.”

AfriForum is currently in the process of appealing the verdict of the court ruling.

In what sane world is the racially divisive chant ‘Kill the Boer’ not an obvious incitement to violence and hatred? It seems the world is continuing to turn a blind eye to the plight and racial injustices waged against the white South African.

Nigeria bans foreign models and voiceovers in adverts

SUPPORTERS of brazen law claim it will boost homegrown talent... but what (if anything) will ‘tolerant liberals’ say?

Nigeria is unapologetically banning foreign models and voiceovers from advertisements, in a move to develop and promote indigenous Nigerian talent.

The Advertising Regulatory Council of Nigeria (ARCON) said in a statement on August 22: “All advertisements, advertising, and marketing communications materials are to make use of only Nigerian models and voiceover artists.

“Ongoing campaigns are permitted to run out their terms, however, subsequent applications for revalidation for continued exposure of such materials will not be granted by the Advertising Standards Panel (ASP). Advertisers, advertisement agencies, media houses advertising community and the general public are hereby enjoined to take note.”

Head of ARCON, Olalekan Fadolapo, said: “Advertising



Photo: zikoko.com

should resonate with people. How do you think it will resonate if we keep using foreign artists?”

Steve Babaeko, president of the Association of Advertising Agencies of Nigeria told the Times: “Ten to 20 years ago if you checked the commercials, I would say they were almost 50/50 in terms of foreign faces, and all the

voiceovers were British accents.”

He added: “People will tell you, ‘There are about 200 million of us. Are you telling me you could not find indigenous models for these commercials?’”

Babaeko believes the new law in the former British colony will act as a ‘kind of renaissance’ to ignite confidence in local artists

and bolster the Nigerian economy.

British-Nigerian author Lotanna Igwe-Odunze reacted to the prioritisation of Nigerian talent by saying: “The government banned foreign models, not white models. White models are foreign to Nigeria, so of course, they are naturally banned. However, the ban bars anybody who simply isn’t Nigerian. Huge difference.”

One has to wonder what would happen if Nigerian models were banned from other countries? ‘Tolerant liberals’ must be engaging in mental gymnastics regarding the ‘Nigeria First’ policy.

The ban is in line with the country’s federal government policy to grow local talent and improve the economic growth of the country. Nigeria’s brazenness and ingenuity to solely focus on creating new jobs for local indigenous talent should be admired and applauded.

The ban will come into effect from October 1, which happens to also be Nigerian Independence Day.

Smart Newcastle

THE city of Newcastle, New South Wales, Australia has partnered with Cisco Systems to make the area a Smart City.

And - in the actual words of a Cisco advertorial - they want to 'build a city-wide Wi-Fi network which will spread like a vast web connecting people, their devices and increasingly large numbers of digital sensors'.

These sensors would 'track, monitor and collect data on everything from street lights to roads, trains and buses, parking and waste management'.

The people of Newcastle are about to take part in one of the biggest trials in the world for the Internet of Things (IoT).

Urban sociologist Dr Nathaniel Bavinton, the Smart City Coordinator at the City of Newcastle, said: "IoT is an enormously exciting and incredible thing for the city to be moving into."

Newcastle is a perfect example of how universities partner with government and business in order to control the economic and social conditions of a city or region.

The name of the theory that established the 'best practices' for this kind of partnership is Anchor

by JULIANNE ROMANELLO

Institution Theory, and it is used all over the world.

Anchor institutions such as hospitals or universities are (or were) generally trusted by the public, so the thought was they could sponsor and implement Fourth Industrial Revolution programs that would otherwise incur public scrutiny if initiated by government or business.

Hubs and special opportunity zones spring up around these anchors, often after a city has declared the surrounding neighbourhood to be in blight.

This results in the real estate being grabbed from residents in order to bestow it upon the developers.

All in all, the pattern in Newcastle looks to be fairly standard. Or rather, its pattern is setting the standard - claims Cisco in its advertorial. I doubt the people of Newcastle had any idea that they were being enrolled in such a trial.

■ <https://www.newcastle.edu.au/newsroom/featured/university-of-newcastle-named-the-leading-university-partner-for-a-better-world>

Green dogmatics make Belgium bankrupt

"IN America people are not in this shit," exclaims mayor of Antwerp, Bart De Wever during an interview on Belgian TV.

"They are now exporters of oil and gas, but they certainly weren't twenty years ago. Climate standards are not of much use if all your companies go to America and China to produce, then you are bankrupt and the climate is not yet saved. This is the green dogmatics. People should start realising this."

The outspoken mayor held nothing back during the Flemish current affairs program *De Zevende Dag*: "Oil, gas and coal were no longer allowed. No investments were allowed in reserves. Germany does not have a single LNG terminal (a terminal for liquefied natural gas). The dumbest countries,

Germany and Belgium, have phased out nuclear energy in parallel. We have pushed away all energy sources, making ourselves dependent on Putin. Now we hang on to it."

The previous government, of which De Wever's party was part, decided that the Belgian nuclear centers Tihange 2 and Doel 3 should close.

"It's a purple-green law. We now have a purple-green government. That is a recipe for catastrophe."

Prime Minister Alexander De Croo says the country is 'in an economic war situation'.

"Everything has to be on the table."

According to De Wever, it is time 'for bitter truths'...

"This country is bankrupt."

Somebody's not going to get invited to Van der Leyen's Christmas party this year...

Russia new home for Snowden

by TYLER DURDEN

WITHIN months of the Russian invasion of Ukraine, some in the U.S. media began to question: 'Where is Edward Snowden? Whistleblower silent since Russia invaded Ukraine' - as one Newsweek headline read.

The Ukraine offensive had clearly put the NSA whistleblower in a deeply awkward position, and thus he went relatively silent on Twitter. The Russian government had provided him asylum since he fled there from Hong Kong in June of 2013 - as he was a wanted man for exposing illegal mass domestic spying by the NSA and U.S. intelligence.

At the end of September, Russian state media was out with an explosive headline and new development regarding Snowden's fate: "Putin signs decree granting

Snowden Russian citizenship," according to state-run RIA Novosti. TASS is also reporting that Putin has given Edward Snowden Russian citizenship.

Per the official Russian presidential decree:

"In accordance with paragraph 'a' of Article 89 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, I decide: to accept the following persons in the citizenship of the Russian Federation: Edward Joseph Snowden, born June 21, 1983, in the United States of America."

This is a huge development and big, consequential Kremlin thumb in the eye to Washington, at a moment when the two sides are inching closer to direct confrontation and war in Ukraine. The decree is sure



Edward Snowden

to spiral tensions further already, at a moment Washington is mulling yet more expanded sanctions.

According to Russia's Sputnik, "Kremlin spokesman Dmitry Peskov has also confirmed that Russian citizenship was granted to Snowden at the latter's request."

Snowden applied for citizenship two years ago so that he would not be separated from his Russian-born son during covid.

■ <https://zerohedge.com>

Italy's populist PM predictably compared to Mussolini in media

by TYLER DURDEN

SO much for European Commission chief Ursula von der Leyen's threats from days ago, which Italians clearly ignored as Giorgia Meloni's right-wing bloc is celebrating its historic, if largely expected, victory and a clear majority.

Rod Dreher of The American Conservative sounds off on von der Leyen's failed attempts at bullying entire populations of Europe: "How dare she?! How dare this unelected globalist technocrat threaten a free and democratic people like this! It is an outrage. This threat to bring the EU mechanism to bear against Italy if Italian voters give Giorgia Meloni power at this weekend's election is a staggering moment. It shows what the EU is really about: not democracy, but rule by globalist elites."

This brings us to the question of 'Why do they hate her so much?' The clip of Meloni giving a prior event speech which went viral this weekend as the votes in Italy were being counted, provides a glimpse of why they must be on suicide watch in Brussels. The new Italian Prime Minister describes eloquently that Italians and people in general are not mere identity-less consumers and economic playthings of the ivory tower technocrat class, but belong under God, country and family.

From the archived speech, Meloni said: "Please answer me these questions. This is about what we are doing here today. Why is the family an enemy? Why is the family so frightening? There is a single answer to all these questions. Because it defines us. Because it is our identity. Because everything that defines us is now an enemy for those who would like us to no longer have an identity and to be perfect consumer slaves."

"And so they attack national identity, they attack religious identity, they attack gender identity, they attack family identity. I can't define myself as Italian, Christian, woman, mother. No."

"I must be citizen x, gender x, parent 1, parent 2. I must be a number. Because when I am only a number, when I no longer have an identity or roots, then I will be the perfect slave at the mercy of financial speculators. The perfect consumer."

"That's the reason why. That's why we inspire so much fear. That's why this event inspires so much fear. Because we do not want to be numbers. We will defend the value of the human being. Every single human being. Because each of us has a unique genetic code that is unrepeatable. And like it or not, that is sacred. We will defend it."

We will defend God, country and family.

"Those things that disgust people so much. We will do it to defend our freedom, because we will never be slaves and simple consumers at the mercy of the financial speculators. That is our mission. That is why I came here today."

"Chesterton wrote, more than a century ago, 'Fires will be kindled to testify that two and two make four. Swords will be drawn to prove that leaves are green in summer.'"

"That time has arrived. We are ready."

And that is why they hate her: the left wing press just can't stop comparing Giorgia Meloni to Mussolini.

And more from her prior speeches: "Yes to natural families, no to the LGBT lobby; yes to sexual identity, no to gender ideology; yes to the culture of life, no to the abyss of death, no to the violence of Islam; yes to safer borders, no to mass immigration; yes to work for our people."

Likely we are about to hear more about the 'tools' that the European Commission has for years threatened to use against Poland and Hungary, in last-ditch efforts at staving off a conservative and traditionalist tide over increasingly deeply frustrated corners of Europe.

Christian teacher sacrificed on sacred altar of gender identity

Free speech defender jailed over pronoun dispute at Irish secondary school

A SECONDARY school teacher has been jailed for refusing to use the pronoun 'They' in a controversial High Court case in Ireland.

Enoch Burke from County Mayo was imprisoned for contempt of court, after refusing to adhere to a court order secured from his school, over the issue of gender identity.

The disagreement began in May, when an email was sent by the principal of Wilson's Hospital School in Co. Westmeath, asking all teachers to use the pronoun 'They' for a male student transitioning.

Based on religious grounds, Mr Burke said he would refuse to call the student their requested pronouns, and publicly confronted the principal of the school over his concerns during the summer. As a result of his objections, Mr Burke, who had been a teacher at the school for four years, was placed on paid administrative leave from teaching at the school, pending the outcome of a disciplinary process.

In September, the situation intensified, when despite the suspension, Mr Burke attended the school and sat in an empty classroom willing to teach his students. Concerned that the debacle may cause disruption to pupils, the Church of Ireland school in Multyfarnham secured an ex-parte temporary High Court injunction preventing Mr Burke from either teaching at its premises or from teaching any classes at the school, while he remained under suspension.

According to the *Irish Independent*: "Despite this order, he continued to come to the school each morning. This led to a further application from the board of management, and Ms Justice Miriam O'Regan ordered that Mr Burke be arrested and brought before the court."

Addressing the court and



Enoch Burke

by **PAUL BENNETT**

representing himself without legal assistance, Mr Burke said: "I am a teacher, and I don't want to go to prison. I want to be in my classroom today, that's where I was this morning when I was arrested."

He highlighted the hypocrisy of Wilson's Hospital School by stating: "I love my school, with its motto Res Non Verba - actions not words - but I am here today because I said I would not call a boy a girl. Transgenderism is against my Christian belief. It is contrary to the scriptures, contrary to the ethos of the Church of Ireland and of my school. It is not something I will do. It is a violation of my conscience."

The German and History teacher also responded to his suspension from school and contempt of court by saying: "It is extraordinary and reprehensible that someone's religious beliefs on this matter could ever be taken as grounds for an allegation of misconduct. My religious beliefs are not misconduct. They are not gross misconduct. They never will be. They are dear to me. I will never deny them and never betray them, and I will never bow to an order that would require me to do so. It is just not possible for me to do that."

During the court hearing on September 5, Justice Michael Quinn was satisfied that Mr Burke breached the injunction and was in contempt of court.

Mr Quinn said: "I order he be committed to Mountjoy Prison and remain therein until he purges his contempt or until further order of this court."

Mr Burke responded passionately to the court outcome by saying: "It is insanity that I will

be led from this courtroom to a place of incarceration, but I will not give up my Christian beliefs."

Mr Burke has had a 10-year unblemished teaching record, and is a well-respected teacher at the popular boarding school in the Irish Midlands. Despite his age, his academic credentials are impressive and include a Master's in Education, a BA in History and Politics, a Bachelor of Theological Studies, and a Diploma in Music. He has also published two books, including an internationally acclaimed academic scholarly research book called *The Hedonism and Homosexuality of John Piper and Sam Allberry - The Truth of Scripture*.

As expected, the chattering classes within mainstream media, academia, and online social media circles have jeered and sneered at his beliefs and subsequent jailing.

Lecturer at University College Cork (UCC) Piaras Mac Einri tweeted: "Delighted to see a bully and a bigot getting short shrift from the courts. Keep him locked up until he gets off his narcissistic high horse or his employment is terminated."

Meanwhile journalist Fintan O'Toole of *The Irish Times* said: "Genghis Khan would be a better poster boy for tolerance."

In poor taste, many media outlets in Ireland have carried out lazy hit-jobs on Mr Burke and his family, because of their evangelical Christian beliefs.

However, not all journalists in the Irish mainstream media have been singing from the same 'rainbow colour' hymn sheet regarding the case. Columnist with *The Irish Independent* Eilis O'Hanlon tweeted about the double standards of his many critics by saying: "The funny thing is that if

Enoch Burke had kept going back to school and glueing himself to his desk to protest about climate change, the same people now delighting in his imprisonment would be outside Mountjoy with placards declaring him to be their hero." In her Sunday column on September 11, O'Hanlon questioned the perilous path of gender identity orthodoxy by allowing children to pick their own pronouns.

Legally, Mr Burke was jailed for contempt of court for breaching the court order, and many argue that Mr Burke shares significant responsibility regarding his current predicament. However, the foundational issue is the court of law granting an injunction in the first place for Mr Burke to stay away from the school premises, because of his refusal to acquiesce to transgender ideology.

John Waters, writer with *The Irish Light* paper lamented about the Burke case on his insightful Substack account *Unchained* by John Waters.

He said: "Enoch Burke remains in prison, one of the most shameful of all the many shameful acts of our political and judicial establishments over the last few years. He is a casualty of the moral inversion that has twisted our country out of its natural and beloved shape."

"But, when history turns - as it will - from its present insane course, those responsible for these events will go down in infamy for all historical time - provided that is, we can reclaim the means by which to give ourselves a truthful history."

Waters had strong words for critics of Mr Burke: "Go play your gaslighting games someplace else."

Once again, the international issue of compelled speech and the imposition of transgender ideology is showing its ugly fascist head. Renowned Canadian Psychologist Jordan Peterson warned society in 2016, that once you make 'Gender Identity' a protected class, you are compelling people to use speech against their own logic, reality, and belief system.

Dr. Peterson recently commented on his Facebook page about the Burke case: "I said very clearly in 2016 that the first

people jailed for 'pronoun misuse' would be dragged through the courts and then hit with contempt charges. 'Nobody will be jailed for pronouns' was the reaction. Wrong. More than once now."

It is hoped that the Burke case isn't setting a sinister precedent for future cases when gender theory is challenged, especially with new hate speech legislation coming down the tracks in Ireland soon.

For a country that has its fair share of corrupt politicians and bankers roaming freely, it is sickening to see a man of conscience handcuffed into court and imprisoned for his beliefs. Journalist and *The Irish Catholic* newspaper editor Michael Kelly tweeted in response: "Handcuffs? While maniacs with hundreds of convictions walk the streets..."

At the time of writing, Mr Burke is still at Mountjoy Prison in Dublin. He is fighting back, and has mounted a legal action against the school over its disciplinary proceedings. According to GRIPT News: "In a counterclaim against Wilson's Hospital School, Mr Burke sought various injunctions and declarations, which would have forbade the board of management from continuing with its disciplinary process against him."

His legal bid has since failed, and Mr Burke was again given the ultimatum that he can be released from prison if he purges his contempt of court. On his return to prison, he reminded the court that he is a "a law-abiding subject of the state, but a subject of God first."

The honour shown by Enoch Burke in the defence of freedom of expression and religious beliefs is admirable. His defiance has made him an unexpected free speech martyr and a 'man for all seasons' in the eyes of many people in Ireland and beyond.

Enoch Burke told the court: "If this court so determines, I will never leave Mountjoy prison, if in leaving the prison I violate my well-informed conscience and religious belief and deny my God."

As Saint Thomas More once said: "I would uphold the law if for no other reason but to protect myself."

Disease madness

Reports of new and future outbreaks are propaganda

by **DAWN LESTER & DAVID PARKER**

IF we are to believe the mainstream media, which is not advisable for a whole host of reasons, not least of which is that they have been demonstrably proven to report lies, then millions and possibly billions of people are currently in the grip of many ‘outbreaks’, some ‘epidemics’ and one or maybe two ‘pandemics’, all of which involve a variety of different diseases.

This situation raises a number of fundamental questions, not least of which are: why are people suddenly succumbing to so many diseases; why is it happening at this point in time; and why is the medical establishment not able to at least contain if not prevent these diseases? Just what is happening?

It would seem that there is no single, simple answer to these questions, which is what most people seek when asking questions of this nature; but that does not mean that these questions cannot be answered. The true situation, based on how the human body actually functions, is that there will be different answers depending on the individual, the so-called disease in question and the area in which the disease is claimed to occur.

However, the media reports are not based on knowledge about how the body actually functions, but on the false notion that there are such entities as ‘infectious diseases’ that people can catch and transmit to others, as promoted by the medical establishment.

During 2020, there were 17 different diseases listed by the WHO on their Disease Outbreak News web page as ‘outbreaks’, nine during 2021 and, as at the time of writing, there have been 19 during 2022.

This is certainly rather strange, considering we are supposed to have been in the midst of a ‘pandemic’ of covid-19 and possibly ‘monkeypox’ too. However, it must be noted that some of the ‘outbreaks’ have been the result of a single case. This

defies the standard definition of an outbreak, which is: “a sudden rise in the incidence of a disease and typically is confined to a localised area or a specific group of people.”

So how can a single case represent an ‘outbreak’?

Additionally, there are many reports that warn we are about to experience more epidemics or even pandemics. This can be seen in a Daily Mail article from June 27, which read: ‘Could ‘Disease X’ be just around the corner? Top professor warns Britain needs to ‘strengthen’ its preparations for possibility of a new pandemic amid outbreak of covid, monkeypox and polio in the UK. Last year, WHO warned that the next pandemic could be ‘on the scale of the Black Death’ which killed approximately 75 million people between 1346 and 1353.’

This view is echoed by Professor Woolhouse, a professor of infectious disease epidemiology at the University of Edinburgh, who is reported in the article to have stated that: “the early 21st century has been a perfect storm for emerging infectious diseases, and everything is pointing towards the likelihood of more and more outbreaks.”

There are no grounds given for the claim of this alleged ‘perfect storm’, or for the claim that there will be more outbreaks, although a weak attempt at an explanation is found in the statement that: “the factors behind the spread of new and existing viruses are likely due to growing economies of previously undeveloped nations, population growth, rise in the trade in wildlife and the human movement into jungles and forests.”

The use of the phrase ‘likely due’ indicates that there is no scientific evidence on which this idea has been based.

In addition, we are repeatedly told that ‘modern medicine’ is the pinnacle of medical science with respect to ‘healthcare’. In a 2010 article entitled *Modern Medicine: Towards Prevention, Cure, Well-being and Longevity* is the typical claim made by those within the medical system that: “modern medicine has done much in the fields of infectious diseases and



Photo: Ana Itonishvili

emergencies to aid cure.”

Sadly, nothing could be further from the truth. The catalogue of 45 new ‘outbreaks’ since the beginning of 2020 refute that anything has been ‘done’ with respect to so-called ‘infectious diseases’, especially in view of the predictions that there will be more outbreaks.

This complete lack of progress can be demonstrated by the fact that over the course of more than a century, the sole ‘victory’ that modern medicine claims is that it has eradicated one single ‘infectious disease’, namely, smallpox. This alleged single victory cannot under any circumstances be described as having ‘done much’, especially as it is claimed that there are now hundreds, if not thousands of different ‘infectious diseases’ with new ones allegedly emerging all the time. The true nature of so-called ‘infectious diseases’ is discussed in detail in our book, *What Really Makes You Ill? Why Everything You Thought You Knew About Disease Is Wrong*.

It can be shown quite clearly that there is a significant effort to increase vaccine coverage for many of these diseases. This effort is a basic goal of the 2030 Agenda, especially Sustainable

Development Goal 3.8, that states the aim to: ‘achieve universal health coverage, including financial risk protection, access to quality essential health-care services and access to safe, effective, quality and affordable essential medicines and vaccines for all.’

The effort to reach all includes expanding vaccine coverage to people in countries that the vaccine industry, assisted by organisations such as GAVI, has yet to penetrate. Many of these countries are in the sub-Saharan region of Africa, which is claimed to be the most affected by a wide variety of so-called ‘infectious diseases’, and therefore most in need of so-called life-saving vaccines. This effort was described by GAVI on June 24 as requiring a ‘renewed push for routine immunisation and reaching zero-dose children.’

GAVI intends to achieve their goal through ‘ZIP’ (Zero-dose Immunisation Programme), as indicated by a June 21, 2022 article entitled *ZIP: a new way to get vaccines to zero-dose children in some of the world’s toughest regions*. This article claimed that ‘millions of children in fragile parts of the Sahel and the Horn of Africa remain functionally invisible to health systems, missing

out on life-saving vaccines as a consequence.’

Yet again, nothing could be further from the truth.

People definitely DO become ill and even die - all the time, sadly - but the reasons for those illnesses do not include an ‘infection’ with any pathogenic ‘germ’ that has invaded their bodies.

It is vitally important that, more than ever before, people recognise that there is a complete and utter lack of evidence for the existence of any ‘pathogenic agents’, especially viruses, so that they will not continue to be drawn into the relentless reporting by the mainstream media about alleged ‘outbreaks’, ‘epidemics’ and ‘pandemics’. These reports are pure propaganda.

Everyone has a choice, but people need to be aware of the choices they are being asked to make, whether they want to choose subservience or freedom.

The choice is yours, but at least make sure you have ALL the information you need so that you can make truly informed decisions.

■ <https://whatreallymakesyouill.com/>

Barbaric chipping of pets must stop

IN the UK, it is mandatory to have dogs and cats microchipped. It is impossible to adopt an animal from a rescue shelter without it first being microchipped - a practise promoted as a reliable means of reuniting a lost pet with its owner, with nothing disclosed of the risks and many adverse effects that a large number of animals suffer as a consequence, including death.

Pet microchips are typically 11-13mm long, 3.5 times the volume of the oft-quoted 'grain of rice' sizing. Far from being 'virtually painless', they are injected into the subcutaneous tissue using special syringes designed to cause inflammation and hence, scar tissue that continues until the whole chip is sealed - which doesn't always happen and so inflammation becomes permanent.

Studies of inflammatory responses are based on horses. Implanted humans report swelling, bruising, itching and pinching for up to two years after an implant: how does all this translate for a tiny puppy or kitten?

Studies for cancer risks only consider tumours on the chips. They do not consider the numbers of heartbreaking stories of other cancers, chronic inflammation and death.

And there are many other issues including nerve damage; muscle changes; abscesses; lumps; masses; haematomas; calcification; dermatitis; infections; swelling; scarring, hair loss, spinal cord injuries and back damage to dogs during play, reported after microchipping.

The implanted device consists of an antenna, capacitor/tuner and chip. Only selective frequencies are allowed to activate the chip that modulates the field in a manner that is read by the scanner.

But the antenna is not a selective receiver: it can pick up and re-radiate EMFs back into the environment, even if the chip itself is not activated.

The components of pet microchips can consist of ferrous materials and so there are also hazards from MRI, magnets (many owners use magnets for pet pain

by **ANISE KOHL**

therapy) and, potentially, from stray electromagnetic fields.

The chips are not merely components encased in glass: many have added polymers to bond with the tissue-toxic plastic substances (e.g. polypropylene, parylene, polyester, etc.) that cause problems



Photo: Chris Arthur Collins

over time. There are no substantial regulations and few restrictions on which materials can be implanted in a pet as a microchip coating or encapsulant.

Recovery rates for lost pets that are microchipped are not what they seem from the manipulated statistics: companies do not discuss the numbers of pets never found, and there are no hard facts. Most dogs are found by neighbourhood search and self-returns, and most cats find their way back home unaided.

Recovery of microchipped cats is less successful than for dogs, and the microchip itself can be counterproductive to their survival. Cats have additional problems with microchips: pain caused by the implants cannot often be managed by common pain relievers as they are toxic to cats.

Microchip tracking is only as good as the efficacy of the registers: some registries are not even available by phone and it is 50:50 whether they will respond to

any web forms before an animal is potentially euthanised. Failures of microchip tracking systems include: migration, scanner failure, registry problems, chip failure, adverse reaction. Contrary to what we are told, not even universal scanners can read all chips. Animals have been unnecessarily euthanised as a result. Imported animals sometimes have

So are there any alternatives for identifying individual animals? Yes! Radio Frequency Identification tags can be put on a collar, just as when patients are tagged in hospitals. Tattoos have been successfully used, and ear tipping has been done for identifying feral cats. However, more accurate than any of these is that every single cat and dog has a nose print as unique as our own fingertips. The Canadian Kennel Club has accepted nose prints as proof of ID since 1938. Facial recognition is another option and there are registries for this such as findingrover.com

So what can we do? Share information with shelters, MPs, etc. Report adverse reactions to vets; do not support animal charities that fail to divulge the risks of microchipping to people; challenge animal cancer charities to study rates of cancer in pets with and without microchips; challenge vets to update their data on microchipping, and demand vets take a stance on the abominable practice of compound operations on vulnerable puppies and kittens.

If your pet has a microchip, learn the symptoms of inflammatory issues, support their health nutritionally, and ensure the chip information is up to date and registered properly. Consider inflammatory marker testing and learn about toxicity. Remove it if possible. Promote other forms of ID.

Resources:

- <https://chipmenot.info/>
- <http://microchip-implants.co.uk/index.php/who-is-involved-in-mag-the-microchip-advisory-group>
- <https://www.gov.uk/government/consultations/microchipping-cats-in-england-call-for-evidence/cat-microchipping-in-england-call-for-evidence-document>
- <https://findingrover.com/>
- <https://science.howstuffworks.com/innovation/everyday-innovations/pet-microchip.htm>
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bLIKj70X2-k&ab_channel=MercolaHealthyPets
- <http://animalscan.com/mri-safety-your-pets/>

Radio frequencies affect our health

by **DARREN MARSDEN**

5G roll-out must be challenged

INFORMATION on the website emfcomp.com reveals that exposure to radio frequencies (RF) can cause numerous problems to human health such as fatigue, headaches and sickness.

At low frequencies, there can be RF burns and heat stroke, while those exposed to high frequency fields it can experience hypothermia.

Where in the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection guidelines does it say anything about RF burns? Exactly - nowhere. The ICNIRP guidelines are not a safety guarantee and they do not cover everything.

The emfcomp.com study continues to say that above a certain field level (high frequencies) health problems can and will occur.

RFs have the potential to interfere with pacemakers, defibrillators and other active planted devices, and electromagnetic fields (EMFs) can also heat up metal objects in the body such as screws, plates and rods.

The ICNIRP guidelines only deal with the thermal effects, while this new information deals with the reality, and no one will be able to say after reading it that mobile phone masts and Wi-Fi masts are safe.

The councillors and MPs must get 5G halted to ensure no harm will come to the public. While we know super-fast internet might sound exciting, the health of people and animals, and the environment, must come first.

With our recent interactions with people who work around mobile phone masts telling us how harmful 5G will be, something must be done, not just in the UK, but across the world to stop this technology harming millions. Doing nothing is now unthinkable.

How to defeat the hidden enemy

WHETHER we are aware of it or not, humanity is engaged in a war for our survival and it is against an invisible enemy. This war that the Owners are waging on the People is primarily psychological, as it is built almost entirely on illusion and propaganda.

While that insight might make it all seem harmless, clearly that is far from the case, and in fact the understanding only highlights what is so profoundly sick about our predicament.

We, the People, have done this to ourselves!

The Owners' black magic persuaded millions of us to eat fake food that is not fit for human consumption, and to pollute our bodies, culminating in the recent endgame that has us standing in line and presenting our arms to accept a mysterious injection into our bodies (which we now know is nothing less than a weapon of mass murder).

You might bristle at the thought that it's us doing it, but it is. They have not had to raise a hand against us because we have been so ready to do it to ourselves, and to each other. Spellbound, we have been waging their war against our fellow men, women, and children.

However, as always with such realisations, the sickening truth of our own complicity has a silver lining. It won't stop until we stop doing it to ourselves, but that also means the power to stop it is in our hands.

Again, you might also feel annoyed at the repeated use of the word 'we'. Of course you may have seen through the lies, you may have resisted the disinformation campaign and remained shot-free.

Sure, but the point remains that we, the People, the 99.99%, fell for it. That's because we are all one, and so we will either stand and win as one, or we will fail and fall as one.

We have to stand shoulder-to-shoulder in this war: the awake, the newly-awakened, and the ones who keep hitting 'snooze', fighting to stay asleep for just five more minutes.

Let there be no doubt. We can win this war against the invisible enemy, but the only way we can win is together. We are facing a crime against mankind, and we must face it together as all of mankind.

We must be committed to

by **BEN HUNT**

standing together with the rest of the 99.99%, not despite our many differences, but celebrating our differences. Because, compared to our oneness, none of those trivia truly matter.

Our fight is not us against us, no matter what our religion, race, dietary choice, or even what we thought about the Queen. No, our fight is with Them.

Does that mean they can still defeat us, even if the war is psycho-spiritual? Well, yes, but that's where it gets really interesting and paradoxical.

You see, the truth is that we really have already won... that is unless we refuse to believe it, unless we are defeated in our own minds.

So the first battle we have to overcome is the curse of what some call the 'black pill': the despair that can sometimes follow awakening to the true scale and scope of the evil we face.

Warriors of Truth, we cannot give in to despair!

We must overcome this invisible enemy, and here's how we are going to do it: not with pitchforks, but with the power of our hearts and our minds and our words.

We have to talk about the victory. So keep talking, keep spreading the news that the war is already won, that what we are witnessing are the death throes of a crumbling regime of terror. Only then will universal law kick in and manifest that as a tangible reality.

Look out for the signs of victory and you will see them everywhere. Look for the collapse of the System and it will be apparent.

We must keep reaching out to the 'snoozers'. However, do not seek to convert them to anything! Our job is only to illuminate the evident truth: that we are all the 99.99%, and that we share a common foe in a tiny minority of very scared psychopaths.

Show them that there are a lot more of us than the mainstream will ever admit, and that our numbers continue to swell every day. This is a one-way trip; there's no going back to sleep.

Do you know anyone who's fallen back into the spell after being awakened? The last two years have proved to be a giant wake-up call, and people from all walks of life, from artists to nurses to politicians, are still waking up by their thousands every single



Photo: Hannah Valentine

day... and there ain't no going back!

You can rest assured that when you see police and military joining the cause, then we are in the final act. We are witnessing that now, because of course, their families are being affected just the same as everyone else's, and they are coming into the light in their droves.

Another mistake to avoid is giving people more to fear. They already have plenty to be afraid of... all the false, invisible, lurking boogymen, threats of viruses and environmental collapse. So let's compassionately help to dispel those fears by showing that these things they dread are nothing more than shadows.

"Do not try to bend the spoon, that's impossible. Instead, only try to realise the truth... that there is no spoon."

Invite people to open their minds, not to align with any particular worldview, but to practise holding open more than one possibility at the same time. Show that it's okay to ask questions, that it's fine not to be certain. Being open-minded isn't scary once you get used to it.

One reason people keep hitting snooze is that it seems to be the least stressful path, and that is true... but only in the short term. We can show them that the easy

path turns out not to be as harmless as it appears.

It is the path of least resistance that has led to the countless 'unexplained sudden deaths' and to the greatest erosion of physical health, mental health, and human rights the world has so far seen.

The psychopaths have always been able to count on us to choose that comfortable path, but now anyone can see that to 'own nothing and be happy' simply does not add up. We really are damned if we continue down that way, so we have to say, "No! No more self-harm!"

Get people to see the illusion - how the system, the politicians, the TV, and the corporations promise one thing, but deliver something quite different. To witness our elders dying alone in nursing homes, or freezing to pay their energy bills, tells you everything you need to know about the true values of those in charge.

On the other hand, we can show that the path of truth and freedom is joyous and beautiful! Together we can shine a light on their evil deceit and laugh at it. (Hey, maybe we really can deliver this victory with the power of memes?)

Rejoice that we will soon be living in a new world, unlike anything we have ever known, and it will be a thing of wonder for

those of us who have the task of rebuilding.

The truth must come out because lies cannot pile up forever. Everywhere we look, their grand illusion has been pushed to its limits, and now it is falling apart. Truth and justice must prevail, light will always overcome darkness, and love will conquer all.

So let's keep smiling while we declare a firm 'No' and give the finger to the System, standing firm in peaceful noncompliance and watching as it collapses. We don't need their System. We can take care of our own basic needs, as our ancestors always did before.

Make no mistake. We will look after ourselves, and we will look out for each other. And if the Owners are gearing up to get more heavy-handed in their desperation, if they are planning to confront us, perhaps using the thousands of young men 'of conscriptable age' shipped into the country under the label of 'refugees', to subdue what they may choose to call 'unrest'... well... God help them.

We may be peaceful, but we are still warriors. To quote Jordan Peterson: "A harmless man is not a good man. A good man is a very dangerous man, who has that under voluntary control."

■ <https://theredpillrevolution.com>

Letters to The Light

Got something to say? Outraged that this paper exists? Want to praise us for being the only widely circulated newspaper giving a voice to the alternative, the censored, the cancelled and the forgotten? Love the paper but have to disagree with one article? *Email: letters@thelightpaper.co.uk*

DEAR The Light,

When the chill of winter arrives with extortionate heating bills, hyperinflation and economic recession, will the public remember their support for the Queen's funeral, its exorbitant costs and the cost of the monarchy in general? Probably not. The Queen's funeral was funded by the tax payer and its cost is an undeclared secret, and will no doubt be buried under the mountain of cash given to the Royal family.

As a guide, the cost to taxpayers of the Queen mother's funeral is 'under-estimated' at £5.4 million, and that was a very modest affair compared to the Queen's extravaganza. The actual cost of these two events may never be revealed. And we pay the bill.

However, the choice of eating or heating doesn't apply to the richest 1%, of which our monarchy are an elite part and own a vast amount of wealth. Their firm has plundered and profited from the covid plandemic, climate change, the fraudulent fuel crisis and manufacturing arms for Ukraine.

Also, do the public understand the clever transfer of taxation from income tax (which benefits the rich) to local authority council tax, which punishes the lower and middle classes? I fear not. After following the Conservative advice by becoming property owners, their apparent wealth will end up paying for privatised health and care fees. A similar scam is being perpetrated with electric cars. Be sure, in the end, our transport costs will be even higher. Trust the government at your peril.

For those who don't know how this tax scam works, consider the following: council tax bands go to a maximum of H; beyond that point they are not progressive.

Therefore, someone with a ten million pound mansion will pay no more than someone with an average detached house.

For example, do you know that the monarchy pays only £1,500 council tax for Buckingham Palace, and £2,300 for Windsor Castle, both of which are in band H? There are many other means by which they legally avoid

paying their fair share.

Thanks to multimedia propaganda, the public are brainwashed and distracted from such thoughts. I used to think that zombies were a creation of horror fiction, but observing those queueing for eight miles to pass the Queen's coffin makes me think otherwise.

Instead of crying 'God save the King,' I suggest 'God save democracy' is more appropriate.

Malcolm

DEAR The Light,

In response to THE LIGHT September 2022, p.18 'Stalin's nanny':

The supposition that the rules of the NWO endorsed by Klaus Schwab et al reflect a (new) form of communism has been accepted by many.

Oddly, the historical roots of the self-styled world power centre around the WEF with its usual known contributors, does not support the assumption that we are dealing with a new communism. The historical roots of people like Schwab clearly point towards the frameworks of eugenicists and Nazi ideology.

Such ideology was not supported by the USSR at any point. In fact, the opposition to all Western influence by the USSR was the main reason why the USSR was attacked by the West, ostensibly by Hitler, but nudged all the way by Western powers in the run-up to the German invasion of Russia. Without Stalin's victory in 1945, we would be likely to speak German these days.

Now where does this position Susan Michie? Is the fact that she has been a long-standing member of the Communist Party of Britain (CPB) adequate evidence for claiming she is using the WHO agenda to introduce communism?

If we look at the programme of the CPB (Road to Socialism), then I think it is apposite to ask what sort of communist party we are dealing with: can any party (of any political colour) that makes electoral support for the Labour Party a condition sine-qua-non of its operations be

called an independent party? This is the question people should ask themselves when looking at the case of Susan Michie. It is not communist supporting a party like the CPB, which goes along with the mainstream on pivotal political aspects, clearly serving the interests of a tiny minority in the world, irrespective of its label.

To illustrate my point: What are most of these 'Communists', 'Socialists' or 'Left-wingers', some refer to them as 'optics Left', doing when push comes to shove? When it came to democratising the Labour Party under Jeremy Corbyn, they reneged. For example, Len McCluskey (UNITE) at the 2018 Labour Party Conference; he and his followers blocked it, helping to solidify the position of established mainstream politicians, who are pivotal in pushing through an anti-working class agenda of which these days the NWO is an important aspect. The CPB voiced disapproval in its paper (*Morning Star*), but else drew no meaningful conclusions.

The CPB is not pushing for meaningful control of the means of production by workers, when making its fate dependent on the well-being of an establishment party like the Labour Party.

Schwab, Gates, Soros, et al have nothing to do with communism. Their ancestors waged a war of annihilation against the USSR, because the USSR was in their way of world domination, and had nothing in common with any eugenicist movements.

Why is the above important? Because without sound political analysis, action taken is likely to fail and be foiled by the enemy.

Historically, anti-communism, in particular against the USSR, was broadly accepted in the West. Anybody who professed allegiance to the USSR was at risk of being ostracised, in a way not unfamiliar to we who face calumny these days when openly opposing any aspect of the NWO in line with Schwab's ideas.

Therefore, one has to ask this question: Are many authors of articles against the NWO potentially opportunists, believing

that dressing up criticism of the NWO with anti-communism might help to lessen the vilification from mainstream, hoping that their anti-communism makes them more acceptable to mainstream and the public?

For reasons mentioned above, such approach will predictably fail in stopping the NWO.

John Gardner

DEAR The Light,

Use farmland to grow plants rather than for livestock

As a regular reader and distributor of *The Light*, the misconceptions about veganism concern me: I became vegetarian and then vegan over fifty years ago, primarily because of the appalling cruelty in the meat and dairy industry, but also because of the huge effects of environmental pollution caused worldwide by animal farming.

Around 77 per cent of the world's agricultural land is used for livestock production and crop growing to feed those animals. However, this only produces 18 per cent of the world's calories and 37 per cent of total protein.

The water footprint for beef is 20 times larger than for cereal and starchy root vegetables.

Slurry and run-off from poultry and livestock farming is adding faecal matter and pathogens, microplastics, phosphorus, nitrates and substances such as veterinary medications to the chemical cocktail entering England's most pristine rivers. This causes, amongst other problems, devastation to local wildlife.

Without meat and dairy consumption, global farmland use could be reduced by 76 per cent and still feed the world. A vegan diet uses approximately 25 per cent of the land used for an omnivore diet. The main cause of current mass extinction of wildlife is the loss of wild areas to agriculture.

There is an urgent need for us all to reduce our reliance on animal agriculture, and use the land to produce food for direct human consumption.

All animals are sentient and do not deserve the cruelties imposed by the slaughterhouse and factory farm.

Equating veganism just to the climate change controversy is misleading and inaccurate.

Sian Winstanley

DEAR Sian,

As an ex-vegan and vegetarian of 30 years who got very sick from it, I reversed all my issues having eaten absolutely nothing but fatty meat for seven years.

If you have gone that long as a vegetarian/vegan, my hat is off to you. You are in the minority and clearly have excellent redox potential for your body to clear plant toxins, and be able to survive on a diet that isn't suitable for the human digestive system.

See my YouTube channel, or theredpillrevolution.com for my recent podcast interview with Mary Ruddick, who has lived with indigenous tribes all over the world, north to south, and they all eat predominantly meat, or even exclusively in northern climes.


They have none of our modern health issues.

What most vegetarians and vegans miss is the absolute devastation that crop farming causes - ruined soil, habitats destroyed, billions of wild animals inflicted with agonising deaths from pesticides and being chewed up by combine harvesters. There is only one type of farming that avoids all this, and that's grass-fed, regenerative animal agriculture. In fact, 25 per cent or so of the Earth is suitable for this type of farming/ grazing, whereas only about 4 per cent is suitable for crops, so it could easily be implemented.

I think veganism is terribly misguided and dangerous but I will, as the saying goes, fight for your right to eat that way. It's your choice; mine is being taken away.

Phil Escott.

BEAT THE DISEASE



For a pragmatic & rational, workable, unradical-yet-novel approach to political reform, please go to www.pandemic-reaction.com & read the brief, four-page proposal *Independent Assembly*

www.pandemic-reaction.com

Pulsed Magnetic Field Therapy



Recharge your batteries at select locations

Cell2n.co.uk *Practitioners request a free machine for your clients

Covid Crisis
Climate Crisis
USA Crisis
Great Reset
5G etc . . .

Stay 
informed
with us!

<https://www.freecitizen.uk/>
Free Citizen UK 

DEMENTIA PREVENTION & REVERSAL

Cockerham Village Hall Main Street LA2 OEF

2pm & 7pm Friday Oct 21st 2022

The Sea View Inn Chorley PR6 7HH

2pm & 7pm Tuesday October 25th

(Both 2pm talks include a Workshop)

MEMORY PROBLEMS?

The amyloid hypothesis has kept a stranglehold on research investment into the many causes of dementia and what people can personally do to recover their lives.

- **What causes memory problems?**
Hear about the science you're missing.
- **Empower yourself** to recover your life.
- Hear about recent **DEMENTIA REVERSAL** shown in **CLINICAL TRIAL SUCCESS**




the dementia pioneers
ROADSHOW 2022

The Dementia Pioneers Not affiliated with any organisation. Do not raise money. Information is evidence-based and free. Donations are appreciated for travel, hall rental and publicity. *Thank you!*
If you can't make these events, email me and I'll send you the info.

Back on Track
Don't give up, sharpen up!
www.dementiapioneers.uk

Email me your questions
dementiapioneers@gmail.com
Garuth Chalfont, PhD Health Researcher

SCAN



THE PURCHASING POWER OF GOLD

45 Victoria St, Douglas, Isle of Man IM1 2LD, British Isles
Tel: +44 (0) 1624 888 888 | enquiries@imgold.im | www.IMGold.com

THE LIGHT RECRUIT

WEBSITE DEVELOPERS & DESIGNERS

Full / Part Time
Permanent
Remote / Bath

World Council
For Health

Ref: WCH001

CINEMA sCo.

Part Time
Volunteer
Swansea

GRAPHIC DESIGNER
EVENT PUBLISHER
DIGITAL MARKETING ASSISTANT

Ref: CCO001

We are proud to be partnering with
National Employees Union.
More information to follow.....

NATIONAL
EMPLOYEES
UNION

To apply, please email your CV, quoting the reference of the position you are applying for. For more information or to see the full job specification, please visit our website.

www.thelightrecruit.co.uk info@thelightrecruit.co.uk [@thelightrecruit](https://www.instagram.com/thelightrecruit)

FEEL LIKE YOU AGAIN

QUNUBU is the very first known word used for what we now know as cannabis. Our logo represents the Tree of Life as the cannabis tree has literally been that for so many, for so long.

Qunubu CBD was born out of a fiery passion to help support the health, comfort and happiness of our customers, and so that you don't have to, we carefully source UK code-compliant premium CBD infused products designed to harness the power of nature to maintain your overall quality of life.

QUNUBU CBD OIL - Full spectrum • Organic GMO free 1000mg oil • Individually batch tested for purity, flavour and strength.

QUNUBU FRUIT GUMMIES - THC free • 15mg CBD per gummy.

QUNUBU BALM - Organic coconut oil • unfiltered beeswax • 500mg CBD isolate.

HIGH QUALITY IONIC COLLOIDAL SILVER
ANTIBACTERIAL, ANTIVIRAL, ANTIFUNGAL AND IMMUNE SUPPORT

CONTACT CRAIG KEDDA FOR MORE INFORMATION: doc@qunubucbd.co.uk • 07395296265 • www.qunubucbd.co.uk

THE OLD
CIDER HOUSE
GLASTONBURY

HOLIDAY COTTAGES
WEST PENNARD – GLASTONBURY

Recently refurbished and tastefully modernised throughout, these beautifully presented cottages are tucked away in a 5 acre estate in the quiet and sought after village of West Pennard.

- Weddings & Events
- Yurts & Teepees on Request
- Peaceful, Tucked Away Location
- 2 Miles from Glastonbury
- 5 Acres with Tor Views to Roam

info: 07584 093 444
www.oldciderhouse.co.uk

The Old Cider House, West Pennard, Glastonbury, BA6 8NL

actuality.tv
REALITY DOES EXIST

Delivering the truth Online

ECLO2.COM



LIGHT 15% OFF

IMMUNE SUPPORT

Chlorine Dioxide Water Purification Drops

Colloidal Silver 25ppm

Lugol's Iodine

DMSO 99.9%

SHOP NOW AT ECLO2.COM

Curious About Your Health?

It's all the rage in Bournemouth



Offering an effective
complementary
treatment
for chronic fatigue

Increase your Health span
and feel the difference with a
Bio Resonance Health Scan



Bio Health Scan™
for a healthier you

Call Kevin today on 02079 657 548

Book online now at BioHealth-Scan.com/curiousabouthealth

Are You Interested in Learning Acupuncture?

Do you think you could heal people better than doctors?

Then the SSOMA acupuncture course is for you.

A one-year course with dedicated online lectures, freeing up
clinical time for small tutorial groups and hands on teaching
to make you a successful acupuncturist.

Contact today:

Email: ssoma@drdankeown.com

 @drdankeown

Find out more at:

www.theacupuncturehospital.com

www.drdankeown.com/ssoma

S.S.O.M.A.

School of Scientific Oriental Medicine and Acupuncture

Are you uncomfortable having money in the bank?

Silver Britannia Coins are a great hedge in these troubled
times and are a great way to protect your wealth for the future.

We are the most competitive market makers in the U.K.

All coins physically in stock and delivered the next day by 'Signed For' post.

All profits from Britannias are free of Capital Gains Tax.

Please request a copy of our brand new colour brochure.



Web: philatelicheritage.co.uk

Email: philatelicheritage@gmx.com

Telephone: 01488 684008

 **HEARTSONG**
L I V E

Scotland's Number 1 Christian Radio Station

Music | Hope | Inspiration | News
Shows | Testimonies | Stories

**LISTEN ON
Edinburgh DAB
and ONLINE**

www.heartsonglive.co.uk info@heartsonglive.co.uk    @HEARTSONGLIVE


 **The Trust Works**
All Rights Reserved in Trust Under God

Settle Your Property into Your Own Private Trust.
That way You will own Nothing, Control Everything
and be Very Happy about it! **What You don't Own,**
Can't be taken away from You.


www.thetrustworks.co.uk

Two essential reads

*for understanding
the crisis we've been through
for moving forward
to a new outlook for health*



Beyond Mainstream Medicine:
Dialogue towards a new
paradigm for health
Thomas Hardtmuth, M.D. &
Richard House, Ph.D.
ISBN 9780952836483, 148pp, £11.99
"a small masterpiece" – "unmissable"



What Covid-19 Must Teach Us:
Meeting viruses with fear or
informed common sense?
Thomas Hardtmuth, M.D.
ISBN 9780952836476, 118pp, £8.95
Evidence based, holistic perspectives
"...an invaluable contribution"

InterActions
For more information on these and
our other books, see our website:
<https://interactions360.org>
Books available from
> wynstonespress.com and Amazon <
Wholesale orders: from InterActions
contact@interactions360.org

WELCOME TO THE SKINCARE REVOLUTION

NOT JUST FOR THE BOURGEOISIE

**AWAKE AND
STANDING FOR FREEDOM**

100% NATURAL.
NON-STERIOD SKINCARE.
HANDMADE WITH A
POTENT DOSE OF OUR
HOMEGROWN, ORGANIC HERBS
AT OUR FARM IN SOMERSET.
BY WORKERS EARNING ABOVE
THE LIVING WAGE.



**WE ARE NOT A
PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY**

AWARD-WINNING,
FIVE STAR RATED SKINCARE
AND BEAUTY FOR ALL
THE FAMILY AND
ALL SKIN TYPES, INCLUDING
SENSITIVE SKIN.
USE DISCOUNT CODE
AWAKE FOR 20% OFF
STOREWIDE.
WWW.LYONSLEAF.CO.UK


LYONSLEAF
REPUBLIC OF
NATURAL SKINCARE

**AFTERLIFE INSURANCE FOR
THE ENQUIRING SOUL**

Knowing what's ahead following physical death
eliminates fear and uncertainty.

This highly spiritual, internationally acclaimed
book, authored by the ancient discarnate soul
Joseph, reveals the wonders and revelations to
be experienced along the road each of us will
one day travel.

Compassionate, comforting, highly informative,
Your Life After Death is essential reading for
every human being who has seriously
pondered the mysteries of their last, inevitable
journey.



*'Answered all my questions – it was as though the book was talking to me! It tells
me the choices I have and what exciting journeys await me on the other side.'*
William Crighton

'Wow – amazing book!!!' **Kelly Williams** (Amazon UK)

PAPERBACK from: thejosephcommunications.co.uk or amazon.co.uk

or send cheque for £16.95 (includes p&p)
made payable to Band of Light Media Ltd.
to: 10 Sparrable Row, Briercliffe, Burnley, Lancashire, BB10 3QW.

eBook from Amazon
Audiobook from
www.bit.ly/yladaudio

For details of other
Joseph Communications books:
www.thejosephcommunications.co.uk

Grander Water Revitalisation
Secure Water for the Whole Family



For over 40 years Grander has provided
bespoke whole house water revitalisation
systems delivering healthy, refreshing
filtered water on tap to homes worldwide,
everyday.

Easy installation, low maintenance,
NO chemicals or electricity.

Grander supports your conscious
lifestyle choices in harmony with
nature and the human body.

Whole house systems from £3,525
Finance available.



 **granderwater.co.uk 0333 390 9479**
waterinfo@granderwater.co.uk

KNIGHTS BULLION

Why do you see us advertising with The Light newspaper?

We are part of your community. We have marched, we have stood next to many of you in the parks. We have seen the misinformation from the mainstream media and how it has affected and damaged people. They have divided families and pushed many to financial breaking point through fear.

Our journey has taken us from a business which was closed unlawfully, to an 'essential service' bullion merchant, with accounts that include some of the largest names in precious metals.

We are very proud to be serving our community.

Our aim is to guide buyers to the correct precious metal products to suit their needs and circumstances.

Our team will give you honest and professional advice on how to safeguard your wealth, and help direct you to the correct solution tailored to your requirements.

Bullion bars, Sovereign coins and Britannia coins available



Please call us on

01789-266595

info@knightsfinejewellery.co.uk

to discuss your investment requirements

Open monday to saturday. 10am - 4:30pm

www.knightsfinejewellery.co.uk

Knights Fine Jewellery, 42 Wood St, Stratford upon Avon, Warwickshire, CV37 6JG